

## **Decriminalising Certain Offences**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) is considering decriminalising certain offences under the companies act.

\n

- This is a wise move and needs to be adopted across domains.

\n

\n\n

### **What are civil and criminal offences?**

\n\n

\n

- Depending on the severity of the offence, the Indian Penal Code (IPC) classifies all offences into two categories namely - civil and criminal.

\n

- Usually, offences of a milder tone, in which the damage can be undone, are classified as civil and others are classified as criminal.

\n

- Acts like loans defaults or violation of contract terms constitute civil offences.

\n

- Contrastingly, violence or death due to negligence, violation of the freedom of others, harassment and threatening others etc, are classified as criminal.

\n

- Punishments for civil offences are usually in monetary terms and seldom involve arrest or other forms of penal actions.

\n

- Contrarily, criminal offenses have a wide range of varying punishments depending on the extent of criminality involved.

\n

- Nonetheless, classification disputes on whether an offence constitutes civil or criminal violation exists and some have been reclassified over time.

\n

\n\n

## Why does India need to decriminalise certain offences?

\n\n

- \n
- Offences that are clearly of a civil nature often criminalised in India, which is probably due to the wrong belief that stricter penalties will reduce violations.
- \n
- Also, excessive criminalisation of offences (implying stricter punishment) can be seen as India's response to "compensate for sloppy law enforcement".
- \n
- Consequently, this replaces firm enforcement of an appropriate punishment with arbitrary enforcement of heavy punishment.
- \n
- Such arbitrariness is serious consequences overall and also negatively affects investor sentiments and thereby the overall business climate.
- \n
- Nonetheless, decriminalising offences that have been wrongly classified as civil is politically challenging, considering the risk of attracting public anger.
- \n
- Notably, bouncing of cheque (due to lack of funds), which is clearly a civil violation, is still classified as a criminal offence, which sans logic.
- \n
- Significantly, according to a Supreme Court report, more than 20% of the matters clogging up the subordinate judiciary were cheque-bouncing cases.
- \n

\n\n

## What does the "Ministry of Corporate Affairs" (MCA) intend to do?

\n\n

- \n
- Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) has announced that a committee is being established to examine the penal provisions in the Companies Act, 2013.
- \n
- The ministry has stated that this is to review if some offences that hitherto attracted criminal charges needs to be reclassified as civil offences.
- \n
- In other words, these offences would no longer require a trial in a criminal court, and can be settled with fines through other judicial mechanisms.
- \n
- Such reclassification would enable criminal courts to concentrate on offences of a "more serious nature" and leave the civil ones to other courts.
- \n

- Notably, the constituted committee has also been tasked to propose an in-house adjudicatory mechanism for offences that are reclassified.  
\n
- This mechanism is being envisioned as a forum for the consideration of cases and levying penalties against acts that constitute the reclassified offences.  
\n

\n\n

### **What is the likely impact of MCA's initiative?**

\n\n

- This bold move of MCA might turn out to be a crucial step towards easing the weight of the Indian courts and on its businesses.  
\n
- For this, the committee needs to stick to the guiding principle of minimising discretion and creating transparent processes.  
\n
- Further, any recommendation by the committee will need parliamentary approval, as it would involve amending the "Companies Act, 2013".  
\n
- Nonetheless, it is hoped that these seemingly obvious improvements will find bipartisan support and sail through smoothly.  
\n

\n\n

### **How does the future look?**

\n\n

- While the planned decriminalisation of some corporate offences is a fine first step, it must be followed up with similar moves in other domains too.  
\n
- Notably, penal codes fall under the concurrent list and both central and state governments have a role in ushering in a more prudent justice system.  
\n
- The Supreme Court is already examining to decriminalise homosexuality.  
\n
- But several other aspects like "attempted suicide and begging" also require decriminalisation, and needs to be considered.  
\n
- More significantly, criminal defamation needs to be removed from the statues as it is presently nothing more than a form of harassment.  
\n

- Notably, replacing criminal prosecution with civil procedures is highly likely to better serve the purpose of addressing these problems.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n

