

## **Striking Down of Beggary Act**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

\n

- Delhi High Court has struck down as unconstitutional, certain sections of Bombay Prevention of Beggary Act, 1959, as extended to Delhi.

\n

- It, thereby, has decriminalised beggary.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the Act about?**

\n\n

\n

- There is no any central Act in India on beggary.

\n

- Hence many states and Union Territories have used the Bombay Prevention of Beggary Act, 1959 as the basis for their own laws.

\n

- The objective was to keep the streets of then Bombay clear of the destitute, leprosy patients or the mentally ill.

\n

- It was formulated with the hope that they could be sent into institutions.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the contentious provisions?**

\n\n

\n

- The Act, essentially, criminalises begging.

\n

- It gives police the power to arrest individuals without a warrant.

\n

- It gives magistrates the power to commit them to a “certified institution” (a detention centre).

\n

- Detention could be up to 3 years on the commission of the first “offence”, and up to 10 years upon the second “offence”.
- Their privacy and dignity is ignored by compelling them to allow themselves to be fingerprinted.
- It authorises the detention of people “dependant” upon the “beggar” (read as family) and separation of children over the age of 5.
- Certified institutions have absolute power over detainees.
- This includes the power of punishment, and the power to exact “manual work”.
- Disobeying the rules of the institution can land an individual in jail.

\n\n

- There were concerns that the Act was violating the fundamental rights of the citizen.
- The Delhi HC order is the first in the country to strike down provisions of the 1959 Act.

\n\n

### **What is the Court's order and observations?**

\n\n

- Among the 25 provisions struck down are those:

\n\n

- i. permitting the arrest, without a warrant, any person found begging
- ii. taking the person to court
- iii. conducting a summary inquiry
- iv. detaining the person for up to 10 years

\n\n

\n

- The court has not struck down provisions that do not treat beggary per se as an offence.

\n

- It has also not struck down a Section that deals with penalty for employing or causing persons to beg.

\n

- This addresses forced begging or “begging rackets”, which are used to justify retaining the Act.

\n

- Activists advocating repeal of the Act, however, say that these can be dealt with existing provisions in the Indian Penal Code.

\n

- **Observations** - The Bench held that the Begging Act violated Article 14 and Article 21 of the Constitution.

\n

- The government argued that it did not intend to criminalise “involuntary” begging.

\n

- Court, however, noted that the definition of begging under the Act made no such distinction and therefore entirely arbitrary.

\n

- It also held that under Art 21, it was the State’s responsibility to provide the basic necessities for survival to all its citizens.

\n

- It stressed that poverty was the result of the state’s inability or unwillingness to discharge these obligations.

\n

- Therefore, the state could not criminalise the most visible and public manifestation of its own failures.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the alternatives?**

\n\n

\n

- **Bill** - The Centre made an attempt at repealing the Act through the Persons in Destitution (Protection, Care and Rehabilitation) Model Bill, 2016.

\n

- It had provisions including doing away with the Beggary Act and some provisions also allowed detention.

\n

- It also proposes rehabilitation centres for the destitute in each district.  
\n
- But the discussion on the Bill was halted in 2016.  
\n
- **Bihar model** - Bihar government has the Mukhyamantri Bhikshavriti Nivaran Yojana in place.  
\n
- Under this, instead of detaining persons under the Act, open homes were set up.  
\n
- Through this, community outreach for destitute persons was put in place.  
\n
- Now, rehabilitation centres have been set up, with facilities for treatment, family reintegration and vocational training.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express**

\n

