

# **15th Finance Commission - The Controversy**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- $\bullet$  The terms of reference given to the  $15^{\rm th}$  Finance Commission is highly controversial and might have serious repercussion for the nation. \n
- Multiple other criterions that are being considered for fund distribution has also worried some states.

\n\n

### What is the core issue with the current Finance Commission?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- **Finance Commission** They are constituted every 5 years (or before) by the president to give its recommendations, which aren't advisory in nature.
- $\bullet$  They recommendations pertain to the distribution of tax revenues between the Centre and states, and the allocation of the proceeds among states. \n
- The 15<sup>th</sup> Finance Commission was constituted for giving recommendations for the period of 2020-25.
- Usually, there is little mentioned about the Finance commission in the press and its recommendations seldom stir popular interests.
- $\bullet$  But the 15  $^{\rm th}$  FC has been hovering headlines since its constitution as it has been asked to consider the use of 2011 census for fund devolution. \n
- **Currently** The 1971 census is the marker, which was fixed to delink population from fund distribution in order to promote family planning.
- Notably, population control initiatives didn't proceed in an equal phase all over the country as some states like UP and Bihar lacked behind.

- This is led to massive population expansions in some states and a more moderated increase in states like Kerala and Tamil Nadu.
- $\bullet$  Hence, it is a reality that using 2011 census data will penalise southern states, which have made considerable progress in population control.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- $\bullet$  This would also deny them reward for progress made in areas such as health, literacy, education and infrastructure. \n

 $n\n$ 

## What are recent political developments related to the 15<sup>th</sup> FC?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Recently, finance ministers of "AP, Karnataka, WB, Kerala, Punjab and Puducherry" met to discuss multiple issues concerning the 15<sup>th</sup> FC.
- $\bullet$  Apart from the population factor that has primarily upset these states, many other concerns regarding the terms referred to the 15  $^{\rm th}$  FC were also discussed.

\n

 $n\n$ 

۱n

- Government has mandated the FC to probe the possibility of basing the resource distribution on certain fiscal performance factors.
- $\bullet$  Deepening of the GST net, promoting digital economy, controlling populist schemes, boosting revenue etc, are some of the clauses being considered. \n
- This has troubled many states, as these aspects are vaguely definable and could easily be misused by the central government to bias allocations.
- $\bullet$  The concerned states hence plan to submit a joint memorandum to the president to press their concerns.  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$ 

### What are the varied opinions?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• FCs has always struggled in balancing between ensuring equitable fund

distribution among regions and fair fiscal federalism.  $\fintriangleright \fi$ 

- $\bullet$  This issue had been raised as early as mid-1990s by the government of undivided Andhra Pradesh.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Some voice that population growth will come down if the States experiencing a higher population growth are punished with a lower share of Central funds.
- $\bullet$  But others have stated the difference in geography and economy as the primary reasons for differentiated contribution to the central taxes. \n
- $\bullet$  They hence argue that the richer states need to be more generous for equitable development to be achieved throughout the country.  $\$

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Indian Express** 

 $n\n$ 

\n

