

## 2018 Nobel Prize in Economics - Relevance for India

### What is the issue?

\n\n

The ideas of this year's Nobel Memorial Prize in Economic Sciences are relevant for India in terms of handling its growth.

\n\n

### What were the prizes awarded for?

\n\n

\n

- The Nobel Prize for this year has been awarded to two economists in two different fields.

\n

- William Nordhaus has worked on climate change and economic growth.

\n

- It is more on the 'negative spillover' of emissions and damage to the environment as a result of growth.

\n

- Paul Romer had worked on innovation and growth and is on the 'positive spillovers' of knowledge and technology.

\n

- Notably, both works were related to economic growth.

\n

\n\n

### What are the key ideas of Nordhaus?

\n\n

\n

- **Observation** - As the world strives to bring about high growth, it tends to damage the environment.

\n

- This, in turn, comes back to haunt people and retard future growth.

\n

- E.g. land gets less fertile due to excessive use of fertilisers and overgrazing

\n

- Carbon emissions affect health, damage the ozone layer, cause erratic rainfall, affect ocean life, etc.  
\n
- **Measures** - Nordhaus hence spoke of 'DICE' ('Dynamic Integrated model for Climate and Economy') as the way forward.  
\n
- The obvious solution is a carbon tax, which is now quite popular in the world.  
\n
- It discourages emissions or makes entities use better technologies that lower such emissions.  
\n
- **Concerns** - The problem here is that countries, at times, make such compromises for short-term gains.  
\n
- Also, the externalities caused by damaging the environment are often assumed to be everyone's problem and not just that of the nation.  
\n
- Given this, carbon tax is a softer option that may not really bring an end to the polluting process.  
\n
- It only increases the cost of damaging the environment, which will be passed to the consumer.  
\n
- **Way forward** - Outright bans are the only way out.  
\n
- There should ideally be ban on the use of certain material or technology that damages nature.  
\n
- Importantly, this should be agreed upon by all the countries.  
\n
- It is important here for government intervention as the market system is less likely to ensure such a solution.  
\n

\n\n

## **What are the key ideas of Romer?**

\n\n

- **Argument** - Romer talks of a positive stimulus to growth, which is based on knowledge or technology.  
\n
- This is logical because across countries technology has been the differentiating factor in the strategies pursued for higher growth.

- \n
- Evidently, going by this, the African countries remain slow-movers in terms of growth.
  - The East Asian economies were able to gallop on the back of innovation.
  - In fact, a lot of progress in India can be attributed to innovation.
  - E.g. the Green Revolution in agriculture, the IT revolution, etc
  - An interesting observation by Romer is that when technology brings about growth, it is non-exclusive.
  - This is because the benefits do percolate to other companies and countries.
  - **Measures** - There is thus the need for Research & Development subsidy to be given by governments.
  - The power of new ideas is quite supreme and cannot be contested.
  - So here, one can leave it to the market to drive such innovation as it is intrinsic to the business models that focus on growth.

\n\n

## What are the apprehensions?

\n\n

- \n
- The works of the two winners raises a key question regarding the link between technology and climate change.
  - For innovation to succeed, it is hard to ensure that such technology is consistent with sustainable growth.
  - E.g. the technology of mobile phones has brought in a broader debate of radiation emissions
  - Here the tenets of Nordhaus and Romer would collide.
  - Another concern is that if technology which becomes labour-displacing can really lead to meaningful higher growth.
  - Addressing this is crucial especially in labour-surplus economies.
- \n

- Also, the practical feasibility of innovations in several countries in Africa and South Asia where there is power shortage is uncertain.

\n

\n\n

### **What could be done?**

\n\n

\n

- For India, the ideas of both these economists are very relevant.
- Innovation must be tailor-made to suit local requirements so that it does not disturb the ecosystem.
- When talking of inclusive growth and creation of jobs, the focus has to be on using innovation in a balanced manner.
- The climate change issue is more challenging; there needs to be internal rules to ensure that environment is protected.
- Laws need to be in place to ensure that there is a proper balance of technology with carbon emissions.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Financial Express**

\n

