

## **Aadhaar and Other Laws (Amendment) Bill, 2018**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The Lok Sabha recently passed The Aadhaar and Other Laws (Amendment) Bill, 2018.

\n\n

### **What is the need?**

\n\n

\n

- **Verdict** - The changes follow the recent Supreme Court's verdict in regards with Aadhaar.

\n

- It upheld Aadhaar but limited its use for only certain subsidies and schemes funded by the Consolidated Fund of India.

\n

- The court disallowed private companies from asking for Aadhaar for authentication.

\n

- The amendments now seek to work on some of the restrictions imposed by the court.

\n

- **Regulation** - Over 122 crore Aadhaar numbers were issued over the period.

\n

- So, given the widespread use of Aadhaar, it is essential to have a regulatory framework for its operation.

\n

- Also, UIDAI needed to be empowered to take enforcement actions against errant entities.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the Bill's objective?**

\n\n

\n

- The objective is to amend the laws relating to the use of Aadhaar and the powers of the Unique Identification Authority of India.
- The Bill seeks to amend at least 27 sections of three existing laws. These are:

\n\n

1. The Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery of Financial and Other Subsidies, Benefits and Services) Act, 2016
2. The Indian Telegraph Act, 1885
3. The Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002

\n\n

- The most important changes are to

\n\n

- i. allow children the chance to exit the Aadhaar ecosystem once they turn 18 years old
- ii. expand the scope of Aadhaar being used by entities that was restricted by the Supreme Court
- iii. create a UIDAI fund
- iv. provide legal backing for Aadhaar to be used voluntarily as proof of identity to open bank accounts and for mobile phone SIM cards

\n\n

## **What are the major provisions?**

\n\n

- **Aadhaar for authentication** - The Aadhaar Act allowed for the state and any body corporate, Aadhaar-based authentications.
- But the SC had said that Aadhaar can only be sought for welfare schemes and subsidies mentioned in Section 7 of the Aadhaar Act.

\n

- The amendments now say the central government can allow Aadhaar-based authentications, in consultation with the UIDAI.

\n

- But this is only -

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. if the entity is compliant with certain standards of privacy and security specified by the UIDAI
- ii. if it is permitted by law
- iii. for any purpose that the central government feels is in the interest of the state

\n

\n\n

\n

- The changes to The Indian Telegraph Act and The Prevention of Money Laundering Act allow banks and telecom companies to use Aadhaar.
- But this is only in case if it is offered voluntarily by a person as a Know Your Customer (KYC) document or the only KYC document.
- But neither of the entities can make it mandatory.
- A person will have the choice to use any other valid document for KYC.
- The central government can, through a notification, allow a non-banking company too, if necessary, to perform such authentications.
- **Aadhaar for children** - The amendments say that at the time of enrolment the parents or guardians of the children would have to provide consent.
- The agency must apprise them of how the information will be used, whom it will be shared with, and other rights.
- They also allow for the children to apply for cancellation of their Aadhaar number within 6 months of achieving adulthood.
- **Complaints and penalties** - The original Act permitted only the UIDAI or officers authorised by it to make complaints in case of violations.

\n

- With the amendments, individuals will be able to register complaints in certain cases.  
\n
- This can include impersonation, or if their Aadhaar information is disclosed without their consent.  
\n
- The amendments also give UIDAI the power to issue directions to entities in the Aadhaar ecosystem.  
\n
- The penalties to be decided by an adjudicating officer appointed by UIDAI for violations have been increased to a maximum of Rs 1 crore in certain cases.  
\n
- In case of a continuing failure, additional penalty may extend to ten lakh rupees for every day.  
\n
- The Telecom Disputes Settlement and Appellate Tribunal has been made the Appellate Tribunal for such cases.  
\n
- **Offline verification, voluntary use** - The Aadhaar Act only allowed Aadhaar to be used “subject to authentication”.  
\n
- It works when a person’s biometric information results in a positive match with the Central Identities Data Recovery information.  
\n
- This has now been changed to use it by authentication or even offline verification.  
\n
- The verification can be done “offline”, using a digitally signed copy of the Aadhaar card.  
\n
- This contains the person’s photograph, selected information and a QR code, but not the biometric information, and need not include the Aadhaar number.  
\n
- Also, people can use Aadhaar as an ID proof voluntarily, without having to authenticate.  
\n
- **UIDAI Fund** - Currently, the UIDAI deposits whatever revenue it collects in the Consolidated Fund of India.  
\n
- The amendments create a UIDAI Fund, which will now receive its revenues from fees, grants and charges.  
\n
- The revenue will be used for UIDAI’s expenses.  
\n

- **Other amendments** - In compliance with the SC's order, only High Courts (not district courts) can ask for disclosure of Aadhaar-related information.  
\n
- Only an officer of the rank of Secretary (not Joint Secretary, as earlier provisioned) can issue directions for such information in the “interest of national security”.  
\n
- The changes have made provisions for the use of virtual IDs to conceal the actual Aadhaar number of an individual.  
\n
- Section 57 of the Aadhaar Act relating to use of Aadhaar by private entities has been omitted, as it was struck down by the SC.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express**

\n

