

Aarushi Case - The Systemic Lapses

Why in news?

\n\n

∖n

• Allahabad High Court recently acquitted the Talwar couple in the murder of their daughter Aarushi.

\n

 The case has highlighted the need for a sober analysis of our investigative set-up as a whole.

\n\n

How did the case evolve?

\n\n

\n

- 13 year old Aarushi (daughter of the Talwars) and their domestic help Hemraj were murdered in their Noida home in 2008. \n
- The Sessions court had convicted the Talwar couple in 2013 for double murder and sentenced them to life imprisonment. \n
- Allahabad High Court has now overturned the conviction and has given Talwars - the benefit of doubt.
 \n
- In its order, the High Court severely censored the Sessions judgment for having drafted a story to implicate the couple.
- The trial court is said to have proceeded with what were apparently the 'most appropriate' assumptions to arrive at its conclusions. \n

\n\n

What are larger implications of various Judicial Directives?

\n\n

\n

• **Pressure** - CBI initially wanted a closure due to lack of evidence.

∖n

- But it was overruled by a trial judge, who pressed for further investigation. $\slash n$

\n\n

∖n

- Investigators cannot be expected to find all the hidden facts in a crime and gaps will always remain.
 - \n
- Rather, only a dishonest suppression of facts deserves scrutiny. n
- Hence, the judiciary's tendency to exert enormous pressure on investigating agencies is certainly extra-legal. \n
- In this case, the wrongful conviction of Talwars after declaring a lack of evidence is a direct consequence of this.
- Arrests Also, the decision of arresting an accused must be the discretion of the investigating officer and not the courts. \n
- Court intervention is called for only when investigation is proven to be on a dishonest path.

∖n

• Junior courts - While, the trial court has indeed delivered an improper judgement in this case, the tone of the current High Court verdict is very aggressive in its criticism.

\n

- This might create a fear psychosis among lower court judges. $\slash n$
- They might want to play it safe in future cases thereby delaying judgments or blunting the deserved severity of verdicts. \n

\n\n

What are problems with investigative policing?

\n\n

\n

• Some blatant mistakes were committed by the U.P. Police which initially investigated the crime.

∖n

• The most serious of these was the failure to protect the scene of crime, and allowing free access to public.

\n

- Investigation work requires thorough knowledge of procedural law and familiarity with advances in technology. γ_n
- Direct recruitment to crime branches for investigative training is not possible as grounding in "law and order" maintainance is a pre-requisite. \n
- Unfortunately, policemen once posted in law and order are excessively reluctant to leave it due to the lure of money & power. \n

\n\n

What are the takeaways for the CBI?

\n\n

∖n

- Heightened media glare and pressure forced the constitution of multiple teams one after the other for investigating the crime. \n
- Hence, investigations need to be insulated from media sensationalism & political pressures for better working. \n
- While the CBI did come out with a laborious report, the High Court has quashed the report rooted on seemingly correct facts. \n
- This calls for a rational reflection, as the reputation of the organisation is under question. $\$
- Ultimately, the fact that the case remains unsolved and that the Talwars had already suffered 4 years in jail is a blot it itself. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

∖n

