

Abolishing capital punishment in India - II

Click here to know more on the issue.

 $n\n$

What are the reasons provided for its retention in India?

 $n\n$

\n

- The usefulness of a capital punishment lies in serving as potential deterrent and achieving retributive justice.
- Retributive justice holds that the best response to a crime is a <u>punishment</u> <u>proportional to the offense</u> inflicted, because the offender deserves the punishment rather than rehabilitation.
 \n
- However, with its raising usage, the UN General Assembly passed a resolution in 2007 calling for a moratorium on the administration of the death penalty by the 59 countries that still retained it. \n
- India is one of them, even if it does not employ it as frequently as countries such as Iran, China, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, and the U.S.
- The <u>Law Commission of India</u> in its 35th Report called for the <u>retention of death penalty</u>, which was subsequently expressed in its recent 262nd Report.
- The commission did not go for its absolute abolition and the major reason for an exception to abolition was attributed to the cases of terror.
- It noted that cases of violent terror are constant reminders of the need to protect national stability by ensuring appropriate responses to such actions.
- Hence the death penalty forms part of the national response.
- However, in 1980, the SC articulated the <u>"rarest of rare" threshold</u> stating that death must only be imposed where the alternative option is unquestionably foreclosed in **Bachan Singh v. State of Punjab** case.

What are the problems in its implementation?

 $n\n$

\n

• The recent Death Penalty India Report indicates that the <u>structural flaws</u> in our criminal procedure and criminal justice system are most pronounced in death penalty cases.

۱n

• Between January 1, 2000 and June 31, 2015, the Supreme Court imposed 60 death sentences and it subsequently admitted that it had erred in 15 of them (25%).

\n

- Due to biases in criminal investigations, <u>the marginalised people</u> are disproportionately subject to the death penalty.
- India also retains the death penalty as an option for non-homicide offences, where the offence doesn't involve killing another human being or an action that leads to the death of a person.
- For example, the SC in 2015 upheld constitutional validity of Section 364A of IPC making <u>kidnapping for ransom</u> an offence punishable by even death sentence in **Vikram Singh @ Vicky & Anr vs Union of India** case.
- The criminal law(Amendment) $\underline{\text{Bill}}$, 2018 also provides for death penalty as maximum punishment for those who $\underline{\text{rape}}$ minor girls under age 12.
- \bullet Also, the punishment itself is seen to be a reflection of societal mores. \n
- Only certain cases which shook the conscience of the entire society get higher demand for capital punishment, even when there are such similar cases happening at the same time.
- This is reflective in the fact that in the last 13 years, only four people have been executed.

\n

• Finally, there is no study to show that the death penalty deters murder more than life imprisonment.

\n

 $n\$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

- India's murder rate has declined continuously since 1991 and is at present the lowest in our recorded history except for 1963.
- \bullet Studies show that a <u>more equal sex ratio</u> paved the way for declining murder rates than capital punishments. \n
- \bullet Though Law Commission called for abolition of the death penalty for ordinary crimes, activists continue to argue for abolishing it altogether. \n
- \bullet Abolishing the death penalty will also save on the protracted litigation that death cases involve. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Thus, it is time for the Supreme Court to decide whether the absence of political will for the abolition is sufficient ground to override the right to life guaranteed under Article 21.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

