

## **Abortion Plea**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The Supreme Court rejected the plea of a 10-year rape victim to abort her 32-week foetus, based on a medical panel report.

\n\n

### **What was the court's rationale?**

\n\n

\n

- The Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act of 1971 bars abortion if the foetus has crossed the 20-week mark.

\n

- Women who have crossed the 20-week limit need a judicial order to even get medically examined on their plea for abortion.

\n

- If a registered medical practitioner certifies to a court that the continued pregnancy is life-threatening for either the mother or the baby, then the court can allow the abortion process.

\n

- In this case the SC Bench had directed doctors from P.G.I., Chandigarh, to medically examine the girl and file a report in court.

\n

- The court perused the report filed by the doctors and denied permission for an abortion, stating that such a move would endanger the lives of both the girl child and her 32 weeks foetus.

\n

- The Act also provides that if any of these medical eventualities is likely to arise, then the mother's actual or foreseeable environment must also be taken into consideration.

\n

\n\n

### **What WHO says in this regard?**

\n\n

- \n
- The World Health Organisation notes that Legal restrictions on abortion do not result in fewer abortions nor do they result in significant increases in birth rates.
- \n
- It does not decrease the need for abortion, but it is likely to increase the number of women seeking illegal and unsafe abortions, leading to increased morbidity and mortality.
- \n
- It also forces many women to seek services in other countries/states, which is costly, delays access and creates social inequities.
- \n
- Similarly laws and policies that facilitate access to safe abortion do not increase the rate or number of abortions.
- \n
- The principal effect is to shift previously clandestine, unsafe procedures to legal and safe ones.
- \n

\n\n

### **What should be done?**

\n\n

- \n
- Women are forced to undertake the cumbersome process of approaching different courts, from district courts to high courts and finally the Supreme Court.
- \n
- The Bench urged the government to consider setting up permanent medical boards across the States so that women, especially child rape victims, could receive expedient access to medical care.
- \n
- In most such cases, the pregnancy is not even discovered until after the 20-week period is over because the children are themselves unaware of their condition
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- An amended Bill of the 1971 law which extends the bar from 20 to 24 weeks has not been passed for the past three years.
- \n
- It also allows abortion beyond 24 weeks in case the foetus suffers from substantial abnormalities.

\n

- This should be passed keeping in mind the special cases like rape and abnormalities.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

