

Afghan Peace Process and India

What is the issue?

- Recently, the UN Secretariat held a meeting of what it calls the "6+2+1" group on regional efforts to support peace in Afghanistan.
- Though sidelined from regional discussions, India must still pursue the ample chances in the peace process.

What is the "6+2+1" group?

- The group includes six neighbouring countries of Afghanistan namely China, Iran, Pakistan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan.
- The '2' indicates the two global players the U.S. and Russia, and '1', Afghanistan itself.
- India's absence was evident, given its historical and strategic ties with Afghanistan.

Is this rejection for the first time?

- This is not the first time that India is kept out of the discussions concerning Afghanistan.
- In December 2001, the Indian team led by special envoy Satinder Lambah arrived in Germany's Petersberg hotel near Bonn.
- [It was where the famous Bonn agreement on Afghanistan was negotiated.]
- They found no reservations being made for them at the official venue.
- In January 2010, India was invited to attend the "London Conference" on Afghanistan.
- But India was left out of the room during a crucial meeting that decided on opening talks with the Taliban.

How has India responded?

- In both 2001 and 2010, India fought back its exclusion successfully.
- At the Bonn agreement, Ambassador Lambah ensured that Northern Alliance leaders came to a consensus to accept Hamid Karzai as the Chairman of the interim arrangement that replaced the Taliban regime.
- After the 2010 conference, New Delhi redoubled its efforts with Kabul.
- In 2011, Prime Minister Manmohan Singh and Afghanistan President Karzai signed the historic Strategic Partnership Agreement.

• This was Afghanistan's first such agreement with any country.

What is India's present stance?

- In 2020, the reason given for keeping India out of the discussions was supposedly that it holds no "boundary" with Afghanistan.
- But in fact, it is because New Delhi has never announced its support for the U.S.-Taliban peace process.
- India's resistance to publicly talking to the Taliban has made it an awkward interlocutor at these discussions.
- India maintains that only an Afghan-led, Afghan-owned, and Afghancontrolled process can be allowed.
- This is a principled one, but has no takers.
- Kabul, or the Ashraf Ghani government, does not lead, own or control the reconciliation process today.
- The U.S.-Taliban peace deal only means that the Taliban will become more potent as the U.S. withdraws soldiers from the country.
- It will hold more sway in the inter-Afghan process as well, as the U.S. withdraws funding for the government in Kabul.

How has India's stance affected it?

- New Delhi's decision to find grounds for Ashraf Ghani government has had a two-fold effect:
- 1. its voice in the reconciliation process has been limited
- it has weakened its position with other leaders of the deeply divided democratic setup in Kabul such as the former chief executive Abdullah Abdullah
- Meanwhile, India's presence inside Afghanistan, painstakingly built up since 2001, is being threatened anew by terror groups.
- These include the Islamic State Khorasan Province (ISKP), believed to be backed by Pakistan's establishment.
- The recent brutal attack that killed 25 at a gurudwara in Kabul was meant for the embassy in Kabul.
- Intelligence agencies had also warned of suicide car bomb threats to the consulates in Jalalabad and Herat in December 2019.
- The government has said that the novel coronavirus pandemic prompted its decision to clear out both consulates in April 2020.
- But the truth is that a full security reassessment is under way for them.
- Either way, India's diplomatic strength in Afghanistan should not appear to be in retreat just when it is needed the most.

What affects India's goodwill in Afghanistan?

- India must consider the damage done to the vast reservoir of goodwill India enjoys in Afghanistan because of recent events here in India.
- This especially includes the controversy over the Citizenship (Amendment) Act.
- Afghanistan's majority-Muslim citizens, many of whom have treated India as a second home, have felt cut out of the move.
- The building blocks of that goodwill are India's assistance in infrastructure projects, health care, education, trade and food security.
- The reports of anti-Muslim rhetoric and incidents of violence in India have disturbed India's example as a pluralistic, inclusive democracy that inspired many.

What should India do?

- New Delhi must move swiftly to regain the upper hand in the narrative in Afghanistan.
- The following should assure India a leading position in Afghanistan's regional formulation:
- i. India's assistance of more than \$3 billion in projects
- ii. trade of about \$1 billion
- iii. a \$20 billion projected development expenditure of an alternate route through Chabahar
- iv. India's support to the Afghan National Army, bureaucrats, doctors and other professionals for training
 - Three major projects include the Afghan Parliament, the Zaranj-Delaram Highway, and the Afghanistan-India Friendship Dam (Salma dam).
 - These and other hundreds of small <u>development projects</u> have cemented India's position there, regardless of Pakistan's attempts to undermine it.
 - So, it would be a mistake, at this point, if India's support is only to Kabul or the Ghani government.
 - The Indian government must strive to endure that its aid and assistance is broad-based, to <u>centres outside the capital</u> (Kabul) as well.
 - This should be the case even if some lie in areas held by the Taliban.
 - India must also pursue opportunities to fulfil its role in the peace efforts in Afghanistan, starting with efforts to <u>bridge the Ghani-Abdullah divide</u>.
 - An understanding between <u>Iran and the U.S.</u> on Afghanistan is necessary for lasting peace as well, and India could play a mediatory part.
 - India should also use the UN's call for a <u>pause in conflicts</u> during the novel coronavirus pandemic, to ensure a hold on hostilities with Pakistan.

• Above all, New Delhi must consider the appointment of a special envoy, as it has been done in the past, to deal with its efforts in Afghanistan.

Source: The Hindu

