

## **All India Judicial Service - NITI Aayog's Proposal**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- NITI Aayog, in its recent 'Strategy for New India @ 75' document, made a strong case for the creation of All India Judicial Service.

\n

- But the varied limitations and concerns in its implementation call for a relook on the proposals.

\n

\n\n

### **Is this the first time?**

\n\n

\n

- All India Judicial Service (AIJS) is being advocated akin to the other central services like the IAS and the IPS.

\n

- The idea of an All India Judicial Service (AIJS) has been deliberated since Independence.

\n

- The first law commission in its 14th Report on Reform of Judicial Administration recommended creating a separate all-India service for judicial officers.

\n

- It favored an AIJS to ensure that subordinate court judges are paid salaries and given perks at parity with government bureaucrats.

\n

- The objective was to incentivize the option of the state judiciary as a viable career prospect.

\n

- Subsequently, a crucial step towards formalizing the process for setting up an AIJS was taken under 42nd Constitutional Amendment in 1976.

\n

\n\n

## What is the constitutional provision in place?

\n\n

- \n
  - With 42nd Constitutional Amendment, Article 312 was amended to confer power on the Rajya Sabha to initiate the process for setting up an AIJS.
  - \n
    - To this effect, it has to pass a resolution supported by two-thirds majority in the house.
    - \n
      - The provision also restrained the composition of such a service to the rank of district judges (defined under Art 236), excluding the lower subordinate judiciary.
      - \n
        - So, given this mandate under Art 312, the creation of an AIJS is constitutionally permissible.
        - \n
          - Presently, the appointments to the subordinate judiciary are made under Articles 233 and 234 of the Constitution.
          - \n
            - However, the amended Art 312 commences with a non-obstante clause, overriding these provisions.
            - \n
              - Therefore, any appointments made to the post of district judges, in terms of a law enacted under Art 312 would not conflict with the existing process.
              - \n
                - Furthermore, entry 70 of the Union List (List I Schedule VII) provides Parliament the exclusive authority to enact a law creating such an AIJS and all connected matters.

\n\n

## What are the limitations?

\n\n

- \n
  - Despite the constitutional permit, there are some significant concerns which remain unaddressed in the NITI Aayog's proposal.
  - \n
    - **Vacancy** - The AIJS is being proposed as a way to address the vacancy crisis plaguing the Indian subordinate judiciary.
    - \n
      - But notably, the Constitution permits only the appointments of district judges to such a prospective AIJS.

\n

- At best, AIJS can only offer a more streamlined recruitment process for the limited number of vacancies for district judges in the country.

\n

- **Composition** - NITI Aayog has proposed a much wider composition for AIJS than what is permissible under Article 312.

\n

- It has covered entry level civil judges, prosecutors and legal advisers to comprise the service.

\n

- But such a sweeping mandate would require considerable amendments to the Constitution.

\n

- This is especially with respect to the appointments process for the lower subordinate judiciary (all ranks below that of a district judge).

\n

- These amendments, establishing a centralized appointments mechanism, may be constitutionally unsound.

\n

- It is also vulnerable to being struck down as violations of the basic structure doctrine and judicial federalism.

\n

- **Disagreement** - The central selection mechanism has been contentious within the legal fraternity and other stakeholders.

\n

- There are concerns with the need to familiarize with local languages, customs, and laws of the state where a potential judicial officer will be posted.

\n

- There are also procedural challenges to the need to ensure reservation for locally domiciled citizens.

\n

- In all, the NITI Aayog's proposal should be revised in the light of these concerns and challenges.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Indian Express**

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*