

## **Anti-Trafficking Bill, 2018**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- Lok Sabha recently passed the “Trafficking of Persons (Prevention, Protection and Rehabilitation) Bill”, 2018.

\n

- Notably, the bill was passed overlooking the demands of some MPs to get the bill reviewed by the “Parliamentary Standing Committee”.

\n

\n\n

### **What does the bill propose?**

\n\n

\n

- The Bill lays down a stringent punishment of “from 10 years up to life imprisonment” for aggravated forms of trafficking.

\n

- Trading of persons for ‘bonded labour’ or ‘bearing a child’, or administering harmful substances to the trafficked could attract severe punishment.

\n

- The Bill proposes establishing a National Anti-Trafficking Bureau (NATB) for coordinating, monitoring and surveillance of trafficking cases.

\n

- It also provides for a Relief and Rehabilitation Committee (RRC) and Rehabilitation Fund (RF) with an initial allocation of Rs. 10 crore.

\n

- Further, it prescribes forfeiture of property used or likely to be used for the commission of an offence.

\n

\n\n

### **What are differing views?**

\n\n

\n

- **Government** - Trafficking is a borderless crime but investigative exercises are constrained by jurisdiction, thereby making it hard for law enforcement.

\n

- Hence, according to the government, the proposed National Anti-Trafficking Bureau (NATB) will help in effectively addressing this.

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Opposition** - Opposition members raised questions about the provisions for confiscation of properties, which they felt was likely to be misused.

\n

- The need for community-based rehabilitation for trafficking survivors as had been laid down by a Supreme Court (for sex workers) was also highlighted.

\n

- It was also felt that the proposed bill is conflating trafficking with sex work and might result in the harassment of sex workers who willingly engage in the job.

\n

- As there were provisions of the bill that might be misused to harass transgender persons, specific protection for them was sought.

\n

\n\n

## **How does the future look?**

\n\n

\n

- Many opposition MPs have demanded that the bill should be sent to the Parliamentary Standing Committee for review.

\n

- But the Minister for Women & Child Development Ms. Menaka Gandhi, who piloted the government bill, has vouched against such a move.

\n

- She argued that if there were any lacunae in the bill, it would be addressed when the sub-rules that will be framed under the act.

\n

- Further, she stated that the bill was not intended to harass sex workers (who were victims) and was focused on curtailing the traffickers.

\n

- She further asserted that the provision for confiscation of property would mean hellholes like “Kamathipura and G.B.Road” (brothels) would vanish.  
\n
- Notably, in a separate cabinet decision earlier, “National Investigation Agency” (NIA) has been envisioned as the nodal agency to probe trafficking cases.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n\n

\n

