

Appeal Against 2G Acquittals

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Recently, Enforcement Directorate (ED) has moved the Delhi High Court in appeal against the acquittals in the trial court verdict on 2G.

\n

- Click [here](#) to know more on the verdict

\n

- There were multiple flaws in the spectral allocations and the prosecution in the trial court that needs further scrutiny.

\n

\n\n

What are the anomalies?

\n\n

\n

- Cases against impropriety in the coal-block allocations and the 2G spectrum allocations were essentially similar in nature.

\n

- While both involved accusations of wrongful allocation of public resources for private profit, one resulted in conviction and the other in acquittal.

\n

- The difference in verdicts points strongly to the possibility that the trial court misapplied law and misunderstood in terms of the case.

\n

- **2G case** - First-Come-First-Serve (FCFS) policy was criticised, as it had been unjustly tampered to benefit certain players at the cost of the exchequer.

\n

- While the Supreme Court (SC) held that the tweaks were arbitrary, it had been muted on the substantive merit of the FCFS policy itself.

\n

- Subsequently, conviction of the accused couldn't be secured as malafide intentions were not established beyond doubt.

\n

- **Coal Scam** - Contrarily, the court held that in the allocation of coal-blocks, reasonable precautions to preclude losses were not exercised.

- \n
- Coal secretarty H.C.Gupta was convicted under the Prevention of Corruption Act (PoCA)1988 for his non-diligence which led to a loss of public money.
- \n
- Logically, if lack of due diligence to prevent exchequer losses is a valid ground for conviction, then the 2G case should have also resulted in conviction.
- \n

\n\n

Was PoCA effectively employed?

\n\n

- \n
- PoCA of 1988 explicitly states that contravention of “public interest” is also a corrupt practice, along with “abusive use of office for pecuniary gains”.
- \n
- Hence, the burden of proof to show that all the safeguards and precautions were exercised to ensure no public loss lies clearly on the accused.
- \n
- But the prosecution failed to press on this and rather the debates were centered on the charges of whether money was laundered to tweak FCFS.
- \n
- This formed the crux of why the case was dismissed for lack of evidence, and speaks volumes on the incompetence of the prosecution.
- \n

\n\n

What is the legality of the FCFS policy?

\n\n

- \n
- FCFS has been a long standing state policy in allocating natural resources.
- \n
- Despite its misuse in the spectrum issue, the SC has not denounced it.
- \n
- SC has stated that it respects the prerogative of the state to determine policy and that any policy must be tenably based on desired outcomes.
- \n
- FCFS’s allocative channel grants ‘first movers advantage’ and is best suited to incentivise firms to explore and discover resocures by taking financial risks.
- \n
- Notably, it is useful in sectors like oil & gas exploration, where the seeker

would have to spend considerable resources in the discovery of the resource.

\n

- Hence, the economics of demand and supply along with other significant aspects if any are to be employed to select the resource allocation mode.

\n

- **2G case** - The very fact that the competing players far outnumbered the slots available implies that the resources commanded good value in the market.

\n

- In such circumstances, auctioning would have led to a fuller realisation of value for both the state and the players, but it was not employed.

\n

\n\n

What is the issue with licence transfers?

\n\n

\n

- The purpose of allotting spectrum is to enable players to utilise the resource for enhancing tele-connectivity and tele-density in the country.

\n

- Hence, a substantive entry and exit criteria should have been established and subsequent transfer of spectrum should have been regulated.

\n

- But this was not the case and there were clear cases of spectrum transfers that had benefited the initial non serious buyers enormously.

\n

- Notably, across sectors, unregulated subsequent licensing transfers of natural resources has effectively made it a free trading commodity, which is not desired.

\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n