

## **Army for Civilian Duties**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Following the tragic incident at Elphinstone Bridge, it was announced that army engineers would be used to construct three railway footbridges in Mumbai.

\n

 $\bullet$  The practice of diversion of the armed forces for routine civilian tasks has some long-term costs for the government.  $\mbox{\sc h}$ 

 $n\n$ 

#### What is the deviation from the usual norm?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The army engineers had made temporary structures (pontoon bridges) during the Kumbh mela and other such large public gatherings.
- They had been employed to restore communication in inaccessible areas after natural disasters.

\n

- However, the case with Mumbai at present is different.
- Notably, it is <u>not a remote area</u> where civilian agencies are unavailable.  $\n$
- Considerably, the <u>Railways in Mumbai</u> have <u>enough engineering resources</u>, technical expertise, funds and experience in constructing such a bridge.
- Even <u>private infrastructure creation agencies</u> are available in Mumbai.
- $\bullet$  Also, unlike the temporary bridges made during public gatherings, the proposed ones are permanent infrastructures. \n
- Given the significant deviations from the norm, a democratic government

still considers it right to employ soldiers in the manner it deems fit.  $\n$ 

 $n\$ 

### Why is it not advisable?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The practice essentially violates a fundamental principle of a modern military that during peace-time, it must be left free to prepare for war.
- $\hbox{\bf Civilian Institutions} \hbox{\bf The government must realise the institutional dangers inherent in employing soldiers in non-emergency civilian duties.} \\ \verb|\n| \\ \verb|\n|$
- $\bullet$  Such employment is an acknowledgement of the <u>civilian institutional failure</u> to the larger public. \n
- Relying on this alternative, consequently, prevents considering the ultimate need for the assessment of shortfalls in civilian institutions.
- **Substitute** It holds potential negative consequences for the delicate balance of civil-military relations, if extended to other spheres of governance.
- $\bullet$  It reinforces the belief that the army can provide an effective substitute.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- A recent survey shows that 53% of Indians believe that military rule would be a good thing; with more younger people supporting this idea.
- This attitude among the civilian population has long-term costs for the stability of the country.
- **E.g.** In 1953, following the riots against Ahmadiyyas, martial law was imposed in Lahore.
- After bringing the law and order situation under control, the Pakistan army proceeded to launch the "Cleaner Lahore Campaign".
- This initiative created a trust on army's efficiency among the public and this ultimately reinforced army's ability to restore a situation caused by the failure of civil administration.

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

# **Source: Indian Express**

\n

