

Arrival of Homo sapiens Out of Africa

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• New research has pushed back the date of human occupation in Australia and South-east Asia.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the existing theories of human arrival to India?

 $n\n$

\n

• There was a super volcanic eruption at Toba in Sumatra, Indonesia, about 74,000 years ago.

\n

• It dumped tonnes of ash all over South-east Asia and South Asia, causing much stress to all life in the region.

۱n

• Based on the geological event many theories are proposed for the migration of Humans Out Of Africa (OOA).

\n

• Early version-Sapiens arrived from Africa through the Arabian Peninsula before the Toba eruption.

\n

• They entered with middle Stone Age tools such as scrapers and points that helped them hunt their prey, gather food, or make clothes.

۱n

• This theory was believed to be more acceptable than the later version.

• **Late version** - It says exact opposite of the early version.

• They had upgraded technology such as microlithic (tiny stone) tools that might have been used to give sharp tips to arrows and spears.

 $n\n$

What are the evidences for earlier version?

 $n\$

\n

• The earliest modern human fossil in the region is from the Sri Lankan cave of FaHien.

\n

- \bullet Sri Lanka was then linked to the Indian landmass, as sea levels were lower. \n
- In India too, there is abundant evidence of microlithic tools from around the same time.

\n

• Research in Jwalapuram site in Andhra Pradesh, which posed the first big challenge to the 'late version'.

۱n

• Jwalapuram lies in the Jurreru river valley and its significance is in the fact that the river basin holds layers of volcanic ash left behind by the Toba eruption.

\n

- The archaeologists found middle Stone Age tools dated to around 77,000 years ago and were made by what they believe were modern humans. $\$
- Those findings created a stir because they frontally challenged the 'late version'.

۱n

• In fact, went on to argue that modern humans could have been in India as early as 100,000-120,000 years ago.

\n

• The Jwalapuram artefacts are remarkably similar to those made by Middle Stone Age modern humans in Africa.

 $n\n$

What are the proposals supporting later version?

 $n\n$

\n

- New finding states that modern humans were in Australia, 65,000 years ago, that is about 15,000 years earlier than previous estimates.
- Using the latest multidisciplinary techniques scientists have confirmed a teeth found in Madjedbebe cave of Australia was belonged to modern humans who lived 63,000-73,000 years ago.

\n

• This pushes back the dates for modern human occupation of South-east Asia by about 20,000 years.

۱n

• The Sumatra and the Madjedbebe findings point in the same direction, that Out of Africa (OOA) migrants made it into South-east Asia before 60,000 years ago.

۱'n

- \bullet Recent studies on current populations using genetic markers and mutation rates, conclude that OOA migrations could not have happened earlier. \n
- It is clear that in no way that an OOA migration could have happened before the Toba eruption of 74,000 years ago. $\$

 $n\n$

What are the issues with the recent findings?

 $n\n$

\n

- If people were already in Australia and South-east Asia by 65,000 years ago, then they would have had to have left Africa and reached India much earlier.
- Since India having been a key corridor for the OOA migration, this would put the 'late version' in jeopardy.

\n

• There is an attractive meanness to the 'late version' and until now there hasn't been abundant, securely dated evidence against it.

۱n

• The 'late version' cites genetics to say that OOA could not have happened before 70,000 years ago.

\n

• But archaeological evidence says humans were already in Sumatra by around then.

\n

 $n\n$

What are the importance of the human origin theories?

 $n\n$

\n

- The first settlers of India are our direct ancestors.
- Most of Indian genetic ancestry comes from the first settlers, with the rest contributed by later migrants from West Asia, East Asia, and Central Asia.

\n

- The deeply held belief that only tribals (about 8.6% of the population) carry the ancestry of the original settlers couldn't be more wrong.
- The first settlers of India are, indeed, the bedrock of our population and civilisation.
- \bullet Without getting their origin, it is tough to predict the exact Indian history. \n

 $n\n$

Way forward

 $n\n$

\n

• The new findings do not really overturn the 'late version', but only stretch it to the maximum.

\n

- The lower end of the Madjedbebe study puts the age of the artefacts at 59,300 years that of the Sumatra study puts it at 63,000 years.
- Theoretically, both could fall within the extreme range of possibilities, with OOA migrants reaching Australia by 59,000 years ago, after having left Africa by 70,000 years ago.
- Another possibility is that the Madjedbebe and Sumatra people went extinct long ago, leaving behind artefacts and a couple of teeth, but without leaving a mark in the genetic pool as it exists today.
- The new studies may have given new wind to the early version of OOA, but it will take new fossil finds with older dates for a new consensus to emerge.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

