

# Assessing India-U.S. 2+2 Dialogue

## What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- The India-U.S. defence relationship has been given a significant boost after the recent 2+2 Dialogue in Delhi. (Click here to know more)  $_{\n}$
- However, India must take a balanced and cautious view while deepening defence ties with the U.S.  $\n$

\n\n

### What is the significance of the meet?

\n\n

\n

- The India-U.S. defence relationship was strengthened with the signing of three agreements:  $\n$ 

\n\n

∖n

- 1. the Communications Compatibility and Security Agreement (COMCASA)  $\space{1mm}\space$
- 2. "hotlines" between the Defence and Foreign Ministers of both countries  $\n$
- 3. the first tri-services military exercises between the two countries  $\n$

\n\n

\n

- **COMCASA** It is the third of four "foundational" agreements signed after more than a decade of negotiations.
  - \n
- It will ensure interoperability between the two countries' armed forces and allow hi-tech equipment sales to India.
- The agreement has also been described as making India a "near ally" of the

US.

∖n

- It is perceived as an inevitable consequence of the large amount of U.S. defence hardware India has been purchasing.
- This will further increase, given the U.S. decision to include India in the Strategic Trade Authorisation (STA-1).
- China Advancing "a free, open, and inclusive Indo-Pacific region" was discussed upon.

\n

• The countries also mentioned promoting sustainable "debt-financing" in the region.

∖n

- These are clearly aimed at Beijing's role in the South China Sea and the Belt and Road Initiative projects.  $$\n$
- **Relationship** The 2+2 discussions was held after two previous cancellations this year.

∖n

- The dialogue has thus been significant in India-U.S. relationship after months of drift and occasional discord.  $\n$ 

\n\n

### What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- While trade was addressed, India did not receive a clear-cut assurance of its GSP (<u>Generalised System of Preferences</u>) status being restored. \n
- It neither received any waivers on steel and aluminium tariffs imposed by the U.S.  $\$  h
- Instead, U.S. officials said that they expect India to increase imports of American oil and gas as well as aircraft.
- This is in order to wipe out the trade surplus India enjoys.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- It is unclear if the Centre has agreed to this anti-free market demand, but it remains a concern.
- The U.S.'s other demand, to "zero out" oil imports from Iran by November, is

also contentious.

\n

- It would hurt India economically at a time when the dollar is strengthening and fuel prices are going up.  $\gamma_n$
- It would also have implications in terms of India's substantial engagement with Iran.
  - \n
- No public statement was made on U.S.'s actions on India's investment in the Chabahar port once U.S.'s full sanctions begin in November.  $\n$
- Also, U.S. officials gave no firm commitment that India would receive a waiver to purchase Russian hardware, beginning with the  $\underline{S-400}$  missile system.

\n

- India must work with the U.S. in the coming future to ensure that the 2+2 dialogue did not benefit only the U.S.  $\n$
- India needs to ensure that it does not sacrifice its regional geo-political and broader global economic interests.

\n\n

\n\n

#### Source: The Hindu, BusinessLine

