

# **Assessing NCALT**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

The National Company Law Appellate Tribunal seems to be lacking the specifics to ensure the purposeful functioning of the competition adjudications in India.

 $n\n$ 

### What are the legal mechanisms in place?

 $n\n$ 

\n

The Indian competition adjudicatory structure consists of \n

 $n\n$ 

\n

i. Competition Commission of India (CCI)

ii. National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT)

 $n\n$ 

\n

- CCI The Competition Commission of India is a statutory body responsible for enforcing The Competition Act, 2002.
- It is tasked with preventing activities that have an adverse effect on competition among companies in India.
- The commission is entrusted with regulatory powers for effective regulation.
- $\bullet$  NCALT NCLAT serves as the appellate authority for hearing appeals against the decisions, directions or orders passed by  $\mbox{\ \ }\mbox{\ \ }\m$

 $n\n$ 

\n

i. National Company Law Tribunal(s) (NCLT)

\n

ii. Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India

iii. Competition Commission of India (CCI)  $\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

#### Are tribunals effective?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Tribunals were envisioned as ad-hoc mechanisms to address the problem of judicial delays.

\n

- They are a tool to harness cost-effectiveness, accessibility, expedited functioning and expert knowledge.
- Nevertheless, reality with the state of competition appeals in India is not appreciable, with some inherent shortfalls in the system.

 $n\n$ 

#### What are the concerns?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- **Delays** The appellate authority is required to dispose of appeals expeditiously; possibly within six months from the date of receipt of appeal.
- However, data suggests that such a deadline is not complied with.
- $\bullet$  Resultantly, the average disposal rate per year of competition appeals falls between only 40-50%.

\n

- **Procedure** In addition to the delay caused at the appellate stage, there lies further scope of appeal at the Supreme Court level.
- The absence of detailed, stage-wise timelines governing the appellate process adds to the problem.
- Resultantly, the numerous layers of judicial procedures largely undermine the very purpose of these adjudicatory mechanisms in place for ensuring fair competition.

\n

- However, it currently comprises only three, leading to limited capacity at the tribunal.

\n

• **Composition** - NCLAT also does not comprise of any technical members on board.

\n

 $\bullet$  There is lack of specific expertise in competition law and policy, for a professional handling of the company cases.  $\mbox{\sc h}$ 

 $n\n$ 

### What should be done?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- There is an urgent need to appoint more members in NCALT to ensure that the pending-appeals do not pile up as huge burden.
- $\bullet$  In its 272nd Report, the Law Commission of India has recommended that specialised tribunals should comprise of technical persons.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- $\bullet$  This may include persons with special knowledge and professional experience or expertise of not less than 15 years in the particular field. \n
- E.g. in the UK, the Competition Appellate Tribunal (CAT) comprises a combination of industry experts, economists and legal practitioners, etc.
- Case-management techniques such as setting stage-wise timelines, arranging case-management conferences, etc should be adopted.

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

## **Source: Financial Express**

 $n\n$ 

