

## Autonomous Bodies

### Why in news?

\n\n

The Committee for Review of Autonomous Bodies (ABs) is chaired by Ratan Watal and the committee's interim report has not yet made public.

\n\n

### What is an autonomous body?

\n\n

\n

- An Autonomous Body (AB) is set up by the government for a **specific purpose**.

\n

- It is **independent in day-to-day functioning**, but the government has some control over ABs.

\n

- The government funds ABs in some way — revenue expenditure, capital expenditure, or both.

\n

- Several ABs are supposed to provide content that can feed into India's soft power aspirations.

\n

\n\n

### What is the history of ABs?

\n\n

\n

- Today, there are at least 679 ABs. Of the 679 ABs, half were set up between 1984 and 1989.

\n

\n\n

\n

- In 1955, there were only 35 ABs.

\n

- The oldest is '**The Asiatic Society**', established in 1784 by William Jones.  
\n
- In those days, their objectives were laudable and they didn't look to the government for money.  
\n
- The Asiatic Society started taking recourse to government funding in 1984, when it became an institution of national importance.  
\n
- Even as late as the 1960s, they only asked for land and sought financial assistance for constructing buildings.  
\n

\n\n

### **What did the Bimal Jalan Committee say?**

\n\n

- Ministry shall put in place a system of external or peer **review of autonomous organisations every 3 - 5 years** depending on the size and nature of activity.  
\n
- Such a review should be the responsibility of the concerned administrative division of the ministry and should focus on the following:  
\n
- the **objective** for which the autonomous organisation was set up and whether these objectives have been or are being achieved;  
\n
- **whether the activities should be continued** at all, either because they are no longer relevant or have been completed or if there has been a substantial failure in achievement of objectives;  
\n
- whether the nature of the activities is such that these need to be performed only by an autonomous organisation;  
\n
- whether similar functions are also being undertaken by other organisations, and if so, whether there is **scope for merging or winding up** the organisations under review;  
\n
- whether user charges, wherever the output or benefit of services are utilised, are levied at appropriate rates; and  
\n
- the scope for maximising internal resources generation so that the dependence upon government budgetary support is minimised.  
\n

- Since public resources are involved, and all resources have trade-offs, questions must be raised regarding **transparency, accountability and culpability of ABs.**

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Indian Express**

\n

