

Ayushman Bharat programme - II

Click here for Part I

 $n\n$

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- The recent budget announced the Ayushman Bharat programme.
- \bullet It is imperative at this juncture to look into the various facets of the programme to assess its potential in health care services. \n

 $n\n$

What are the shortfalls?

 $n\n$

\n

• Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana (RSBY) was a precursor of the National Health Protection Scheme (NHPS).

\n

• It provided limited coverage of Rs.30,000, usually for secondary care.

 \bullet The NHPS addresses the concerns in RSBY relating to: $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$

 $n\n$

\n

i. out-of-pocket expenditure (OOPE)

 $_{\mbox{\scriptsize ii.}}$ catastrophic health expenditure

iii. health payment-induced poverty \n

 $n\n$

\n

• NHPS has sharply raised the health care coverage.

\n

• However, the shortfall of **not covering outpatient care** in RSBY continues in NHPS as well.

\n

- Notably, outpatient care accounts for the largest fraction of OOPE.
- \bullet They include medical procedures, surgeries, therapies, classes, diagnostic tests, etc without the need for patient's overnight stay. \n
- The NHPS also remains **disconnected from primary health care** services.

 $n\n$

\n

• The transformation of sub-centres to health and wellness centres under the NHPS is welcome.

۱n

• However, the reduced allocation for the existing National Health Mission and sidelining of its urban component raises concerns.

\n

It signals a neglect of primary health care.

 $n\$

Why is primary health care so significant?

 $n\n$

\n

 Primary health services need to be strong enough to reduce the need for advanced care.

\n

- Less attention for primary care could lead to an **overloaded NHPS**.
- \bullet This in turn could disproportionately \boldsymbol{drain} $\boldsymbol{resources}$ from the health budget.

\n

- This could lead to further neglect of primary care and public hospitals.
- \bullet Notably, even now these are not adequately equipped to compete with corporate hospitals in the $strategic\ purchasing$ arena. \n
- This shortfall in health care policy is potential of ruining the public sector as a health care provider.

\n

What are the financial implications?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet Unlike a private insurance where an individual/employer pays the premium, in NHPS the government pays most of the money. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- A large number of people subscribe to an insurance scheme.
- \bullet However, only a small fraction of them will be hospitalised in any given year. \n
- \bullet Given these, the NHPS is expected to be a financially viable option.
- Around Rs.5,000-6,000 crore is required to sustain it in the first year and Rs.10,000-12,000 crore annually as it scales up. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- \bullet It will draw additional resources from the Health and Education Cess. \n
- \bullet It will also depend on funding from States to boost the Central allocation. \n

 $n\n$

What will the role of States be?

 $n\$

\n

 The State governments have the main responsibility of health service delivery.

۱'n

 The NHPS needs a buy-in from the States, which have to contribute 40% of the funding.

\n

- The **National Health Policy** (NHP) asks the States to raise their allocation for health to over 8% of the total State budget by 2020.
- The NHP proposes the centre to raise public health expenditure to 2.5% of GDP by 2025.

• The Central Budget has not signalled a movement towards this goal.

• Given this, the states taking actions on the NHP goal is highly uncertain.

\n

• Notably, the goal requires many of the States to nearly double their health spending.

\n

- Even with the low cost coverage of the RSBY, several States opted out.
- Some decided to fund their own State-specific health insurance programmes, with distinctive political branding.

 $n\n$

\n

• Given this, the states merging their programmes with the NHPS seems doubtful.

\n

 The choice of whether to administer NHPS through a trust or an insurance company will be left to individual States.

 $n\n$

What lies ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

• Primary health services and public hospitals should be proactively strengthened.

۱'n

• **Capacity building** - The NHPS will pay for the hospitalisation costs of its beneficiaries.

۱n

- This will be done through 'strategic purchasing'.
- It refers to allocation of pooled financial resources to public and private hospitals who are healthcare providers.

 $n\n$

\n

- i. adoption of standard clinical guidelines for diagnostic tests \n
- ii. treatments suitable for different disorders \n
- iii. setting and monitoring of cost and quality standards

\n

iv. measuring health outcomes and cost-effectiveness \n

 $n\n$

\n

- Both Central and State health agencies or their intermediaries will have to develop their respective capacities.
- \bullet This is essential for competent purchasing of services from a diverse group of providers. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- \bullet Otherwise, there is a possibility for the hospitals to undertake unnecessary tests and treatments to tap the generous coverage. \n

 $n\n$

\n

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \textbf{Federal} \ \textbf{-} \ \textbf{In} \ \textbf{a} \ \textbf{federal} \ \textbf{polity, an all-India alignment around the NHPS} \\ \textbf{requires a high level of cooperative federalism.} \\ \textbf{\ \ } \\ \textbf{n} \end{array}$
- \bullet This is a prerequisite to make the scheme viable and ensure portability of coverage as people cross State borders. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

