

Backward Classes Commission

Why in news?

\n\n

The Union cabinet recently decided to replace the existing National Commission for Backward Classes (NCBC) with a new constitutional body, named the National Commission for the Socially and Educationally Backward Classes (NCSEBC).

\n\n

How NCSEBC is different from NCBC?

\n\n

- \n
 - NCSEBC will be a constitutional body (like the commissions for the Scheduled Castes and Tribes) rather than a statutory body, like the NCBC.
- \n
 - Though this has less practical distinction, it could have important political implications.
- \n
 - A modest agenda will limit itself to placing the NCSEBC on par with the National Commission for the Scheduled Castes (NCSC) and the National Commission for the Scheduled Tribes (NCST).
- \n
 - This would require amendments to the Constitution, introducing additional Articles comparable to the existing Articles 338 and 338A (which establish the NCSC and NCST respectively), and 341 and 342.
- \n
 - These changes shift responsibility for amending the list of Other Backward Classes (OBCs) from the government to Parliament.
- \n
 - It also effectively takes away the power that the states currently have to determine their own OBC lists.

\n\n

What will be the impact?

\n\n

\n

- This does not alter the basic rules of the game, namely the definition of the category “socially and educationally backward classes” and the existing limit of 50% on the total share of various reservation quotas.

\n

- Now that Parliament would have to decide whether to grant OBC status, it would no longer be possible for opposition parties to stoke agitations without bearing responsibility for the consequences.

\n

- The burden of handling the inevitable conflicts arising from a zero sum situation could also be shifted from the ruling party to Parliament. A

\n

- A Zero sum situation arises where the entry of new castes necessarily implies a decline in the share of castes already included.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Indian Express

\n

