

# **Bailout Package for Sugarcane Farmers**

### Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

The Cabinet has recently approved a Rs 7,000-crore bailout package for sugarcane farmers.

 $n\n$ 

#### What is the need?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• There has been an excess production in the current sugar season.

• This has depressed the market price of sugarcane.

• It has dropped from an average of Rs.37 a kg in the previous season to Rs.26 now.

\n

- This in turn has adversely affected the liquidity position of sugar mills.
- It has led to the accumulation of Rs 22,000-crore of cane price arrears by the sugar mills.

\n

- Sugar mills share 70 to 75% of the revenue generated from the sale of sugar and its by-products, with cane suppliers.
- This is as per the revenue-sharing formula of C Rangarajan committee on sugar deregulation.

\.

 $n\n$ 

# What are the measures in this regard?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs (CCEA) has decided for a bail out package for the cane farmers.

\n

- $\bullet$  The government will procure sugar from mills at this fixed minimum price.  $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$
- This is to remove the problem of liquidity of sugar mills and help them clear their dues.

\n

- The package comprises shares for creating a 30 lakh MT buffer stock.
- $\bullet$  Besides this, a portion would go as soft loan for mill owners.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{\mbox{\sc h}}}}$
- This is to increase molasses and ethanol production capacity to divert surplus sugarcane.
- Additionally, another share would be towards interest subvention for the loan.

\n

 $n\n$ 

#### What are the concerns?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The plan promises an assured minimum pricing of Rs.29 a kg.
- $\bullet$  It may dissipate the sugar mills' immediate liquidity problems to an extent.
- However, sugar mills say this is below their production cost of Rs.35 a kg.

 $n\n$ 

\n

 $\bullet$  It could help mitigate only about 40% of the outstanding arrears to sugarcane farmers.

\n

• The production will rise again in the coming season and the extent of arrears would also rise.

\n

• Besides, the loans and interest subsidies component will take time to materialise.

\n

- It will not be soon enough to address the present crisis.
- The recent decision, thus, sticks to the old-style pricing and stock-holding

interventions.

۱n

• It does little to address the structural flaws in the sugar sector.  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\bullet$  The sops-driven solution could distort the agriculture sector further.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$ 

 $n\n$ 

### What is the way forward?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Continuing the complex web of state controls in a politically-sensitive sector is no solution.

۱n

- $\bullet$  The best way is to address the problem of excess supply in the long run.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\upshape \ensuremath{\upshape \ensuremath}\ensuremath}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$
- Ensuring some linkage, between the price paid for sugarcane and the endproducts it is used for, would help.
- Also, a shift to market-driven cropping decisions should seriously be considered.

\n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

## Source: Business Standard, The Hindu

\n

