

Cambridge Analytica's Facebook Scandal - II

Click [here](#) for Part-I

\n\n

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- A global data analytics company is in spotlight for involving in a data scandal during US election.

\n

- The Facebook data breach involved is a wake-up call for technology companies, policymakers and internet users alike.

\n

\n\n

What is the scam?

\n\n

\n

- Cambridge Analytica, a data analytics company, managed to harvest data from Facebook users.

\n

- This was used to build psychological profiles of more than 50 million individuals.

\n

- A whistle-blower has uncovered it all, highlighting the commercial nexus between Analytica and US politicians.

\n

- This was particularly in relation with predicting and shaping voting preferences.

\n

- A company called Global Science Research (GSR) used a personality App with the permission of Facebook, for supposedly academic research purposes.

\n

- With the help of this, a psychology lecturer at Cambridge University managed to harvest data.

- \n
- Data of millions of FB subscribers who used the personality App was sold for presidential campaign.
- \n

\n\n

What is Facebook's response?

\n\n

- \n
- FB's stand is that GSR gained access in a legitimate manner.
- \n
- But it allegedly violated the rules of agreement by passing on the secured information to a third party, namely, the Republican Party.
- \n
- There are no charges on the company as yet that the data in question was obtained through hacking of the Facebook website or by any other unethical technological means.
- \n

\n\n

What is the significance?

\n\n

- \n
- The scam sends out a worrying warning on the imminent threat in the digital world.
- \n
- The impact of the latest data breach could have been limited if users were aware that they could actually turn off permissions to third party applications.
- \n
- Unfortunately, it took a data breach incident for Facebook to proactively highlight this security feature.
- \n

\n\n

What measures need to be taken?

\n\n

- \n
- The entire business model around personalised advertising requires access to more and more user information.
- \n

- Some of these activities could be legitimate but it needs to be clearly defined and communicated to the users.
\n
- The data breach at Facebook is a wake-up call for technology companies, policymakers and consumers of data services.
\n
- These companies must create awareness about data protection.
\n
- This should be backed up with strong data protection laws that impose heavy penalties on violators.
\n

\n\n

\n

- In all, the scandal calls for tech companies to draw a healthy balance between winning clients and the expenditure involved in nursing privacy and protecting data.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Line

\n

