

## Challenges in tax transparency mechanism

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Global Forum has a strict peer review in its tax transparency mechanism across the world.

\n

- Yet it has few challenges to overcome.

\n

\n\n

### What is Global Forum?

\n\n

\n

- The Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes (Global Forum) was constituted in 2009.

\n

- Currently, Global Forum has 146 member countries.

\n

- The aim of the Global Forum was to ensure that all countries fully implement the international standards on tax transparency and exchange of information (EOI).

\n

- Every country is peer reviewed on these standards, after review rating is awarded which may be 'Compliant', 'Largely Compliant', 'Partly Compliant' or 'Non-Compliant'.

\n

- Global Forum has assigned ratings to 116 countries, the whole process has been very efficacious.

\n

- India is a member of all three main working bodies of the Global Forum Steering Group, Peer Review Group (PRG) and AEOI Group. In fact, India is vice-chair of PRG and AEOI Group.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the concerns in claiming tax evasions?**

\n\n

\n

- Powers of tax authorities are confined to their national borders, and no universally accepted institutional mechanism is available to deal with this problem
- There were very few legal tax treaties with Tax havens (TH) through which tax information could be obtained.
- There were strict bank secrecy laws in TH, as providing any information about assets of foreign citizens would lead to reduction in their revenues and GDP.

\n

\n\n

## **How peer review process is tackling these concerns?**

\n\n

\n

- The peer review process has led to elimination of bank secrecy in a large number of countries.
- At the start of the review process in 2016, there were 65 countries which had bank secrecy laws and so could not exchange banking information.
- This number has been reduced to a meagre five countries at the end of 2016.
- It works in this way, suppose country X has domestic bank secrecy laws which prohibit the sharing of banking information with other countries.
- As this is against the international standards, an adverse rating will be awarded to country X during peer review and country X will then be persuaded to amend its legal systems.
- Hence, all global forum members are persuaded to increase their bilateral EOI relationships with all relevant countries.
- The adverse rating also has a reputational effect, International organisations such as World Bank and IMF do not grant assistance to a country if the rating is adverse.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the challenges before global forum?**

\n\n

- \n
  - The Global Forum has been substantially successful in curbing the menace of tax evasion, however some challenges remain.
- \n
  - It does not have the power to take direct action against any country, which is not following the tax transparency standard, it can only award an adverse rating
- \n
  - Tax evaders are inventing new techniques of evasion, due to its multilateral nature it is difficult for the Global Forum to target such evasions.
- \n
  - Global Forum operates on the principle of “consensus” implying that all countries should agree, which sometimes leads to less than optimal decision-making while deciding complex tax issues.
- \n
  - There are still countries which are outside the purview of Global Forum and are being used by tax evaders.
- \n
  - There has been tremendous increase in work which has put stress on the limited resources of Global Forum.

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line**

\n

