

China's Demand for Market Economy Status

Why in news?

\n\n

The United States recently submitted a document to the WTO, stating its opposition to granting China the market economy status.

\n\n

What is the market economy status?

\n\n

\n

- A market economy is an economic system in which economic decisions and the pricing of goods and services are guided solely by the market.

\n

- The aggregate interactions of a country's individual citizens and businesses operate behind the key economic decisions.

\n

- This is the opposite of a centrally planned economy, in which government decisions drive most aspects of a country's economic activity.

\n

\n\n

What does China aim for?

\n\n

\n

- Central to China's quest is the acknowledgement from trading partners of its market economy status.

\n

- In other words, a recognition that its domestic prices are determined by open competition rather than by the government.

\n

- This will help China counter the attacks by importer countries of its unfair dumping.

\n

- Conversely, as long as it is treated as a non-market economy, China has to ascertain the value of goods with reference to prices in a third country.

- \n
- This is for the trading countries to ensure that the domestic firms did not gain an unfair trade advantage.

\n

\n\n

Is China's demand valid?

\n\n

- \n
- **Supporting claims** - The provisions in China's Protocol on the Accession to the WTO 2001 has been a subject of controversy.
- \n
- China insists that as per the provisions in the Protocol, its upgrade as a market economy was automatic on the completion of 15 years of its WTO membership in 2016.
- \n
- Several nations have endorsed China's position in return for bilateral cooperation in trade and investments in infrastructure projects.
- \n
- Even a WTO appellate body's 2011 ruling highlights that as per the protocol, China cannot continue to be treated differently by other member states for an indefinite period.
- \n
- **Opposing claims** - The alternative interpretation draws upon the sub-clauses of the protocol.
- \n
- It specifies the conditions when importers may take recourse to a different methodology to impose anti-dumping tariffs.
- \n
- Accordingly, onus is on Chinese firms to prove that they were operating under conditions of a competitive market economy.
- \n
- If they fail to do so, the importing states would be entitled to invoke rules applicable to a non-market economy while probing firms for dumping.
- \n
- Another clause allows for the status of market economy to be decided for specific industry sectors, rather than the entire economy.

\n

\n\n

Why is the US opposing?

\n\n

- \n
- Excessive Chinese imports flooding domestic markets is the root cause for Western resistance to accord China the market economy status.
- \n
- Countries that are opposing China's claims say that the use of state subsidies in China distorts market prices.
- \n
- And is also consequently causing job losses in the manufacturing sector.
- \n
- If China is granted the coveted status, it would be hard for the US to defend its anti-dumping rulings against Chinese firms at WTO.
- \n
- There is also a concern that China's economic liberalization seemed to have slowed or reversed, with the role of the state increasing.
- \n
- Evidently, the following are being witnessed -
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- i. backtracking on some aspects of the financial areas
- \n
- ii. clamping down capital outflows
- \n
- iii. monitoring investments of corporations
- \n
- iv. placing more party officials in the nominally private sector
- \n
- v. state-owned enterprises playing a leading role in the economy
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n\n

\n