

## China's Growth Slowdown

### Why in news?

\n\n

The growth of gross domestic product (GDP) in the People's Republic of China (PRC) has slowed to 6.6% in 2018.

\n\n

### Why is it a concern?

\n\n

\n

- Fourth-quarter growth (year-on-year) was 6.4%, indicating that the economy was decelerating.

\n

- A \$12 trillion economy growing at over 6% is still a powerhouse.

\n

- But by far the biggest contributor to global growth, the latest numbers are a cause for concern.


\n

- It is remarkably low for that country and is the lowest that GDP growth has been since as long ago as 1990.

\n

\n\n

## China's slowing economy



\n\n

### What is the cause?

\n\n

- \n
  - The growth slowdown is less cyclical and more structural.
- \n
  - Three decades of super-charged growth in mainland China was delivered by a very specific investment- and export-driven model.
- \n
  - Financial savings and foreign investment were routed to large, capital-intensive projects and export-focused manufacturing.
- \n
  - This allowed employment and incomes to grow significantly.
- \n
  - Eventually, the PRC became the world's factory, running large trade surpluses with most countries.
- \n
  - After the global financial crisis of 2008, the government made the choice to multiply on this model.
- \n
  - It took advantage of the cheap credit to various capital-intensive sectors.
- \n
  - But, while growth remained robust, the productivity of capital declined severely.
- \n
  - In the past year, three-fourths of growth has come from consumption.

- \n
- This indicates that the consumption-focused sectors of the economy have now become the engines of progress, signalling an imbalance.
- \n

\n\n

### **What should China do now?**

\n\n

- \n
- Beijing is well aware of the above structural problem.
- \n
- There has been talk of “rebalancing” the economy away from exports and an investment obsession towards innovation and consumption.
- \n
- To move from upper-middle income to high-income status (to avoid the “middle income trap”), China would have to raise productivity.
- \n
- This has to come from moving up the value chain and embedding greater innovation in all its processes.
- \n

\n\n

### **What are the challenges therein?**

\n\n

- \n
- The rebalancing process would naturally lower growth during the transition.
- \n
- So implementing the changes is harder given the political pressures to ensure that growth remains high and incomes keep on rising.
- \n
- Thus, the credit flow to unproductive sectors of the economy cannot be stopped entirely.
- \n
- Furthermore, empowering the private sector, which is a necessary next step in the rebalancing, is contradictory to China's recent policy.
- \n
- Also, with enough resources being spent on research, integrating the products thereon into final output has been much harder.
- \n
- Given these challenges, the trade tensions with the U.S. may actually help in China's attempt to de-emphasise exports' importance.
- \n

\n\n

## **What does this mean for India?**

\n\n

\n

- For India, the question is how much this structural slowdown will affect its own growth trajectory.

\n

- An opportunity has opened up to insert India further into global supply chains.

\n

- But, it is also essential to ensure that there are substantive domestic reforms to take advantage of the above.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n

