

## **Citizenship (Amendment) Bill, 2016**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The Union government has proposed amendments to the Citizenship Act of 1995 through the Citizenship (Amendment) Bill, 2016.

\n\n

### **What is the Citizenship Act of 1995 about?**

\n\n

\n

- In India, the Citizenship Act, 1995 prescribes five ways of acquiring citizenship -

\n

\n\n

\n

1. Birth

\n

2. Descent

\n

3. Registration

\n

4. Naturalization

\n

5. Incorporation of the territory

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Citizen** - Under **Descent condition**, a person born outside India on or after January 26, 1950, but before December 10, 1992, is a citizen of India if his/her father was a citizen of India at the time of his/her birth.

\n

- According to the Citizenship Act (1955), an **illegal immigrant** is defined as a person who enters India without a valid passport or stays in the country after the expiry of the visa permit.

\n

- An immigrant who uses false documents for the immigration process is also an illegal immigrant.

\n

- In short, illegal migrants belonging to the Hindu, Sikh, Buddhist, Jain, Parsi or Christian religious communities from Afghanistan, Bangladesh or Pakistan will be imprisoned or deported.

\n

- **Not a citizen** - Under Article 9 of the Indian Constitution, a person who voluntarily acquires citizenship of any other country is no longer an Indian citizen.

\n

- From December 3, 2004, onwards, persons born outside of India shall not be considered citizens of India unless their birth is **registered** at an Indian consulate within one year of the date of birth.

\n

- In Section 8 of the Citizenship Act 1955, if an adult makes a declaration of renunciation of Indian citizenship, he loses Indian citizenship.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the need for the amendment?**

\n\n

\n

- Many persons of Indian origin have been applying for citizenship under the Citizenship Act of 1955, but are unable to produce proof of their Indian origin.

\n

- [These include persons belonging to the six “minority communities” from Afghanistan, Pakistan and Bangladesh.]

\n

- Hence, they are forced to apply for citizenship by naturalization which prescribes 12 years’ residency as qualification.

\n

- Such a long-drawn process denies them the opportunities and advantages that may accrue only to the citizens of India.

\n

- Nevertheless, they are likely to stay in India permanently. So it calls for some kind of a legislative safeguard to them.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the recent proposals?**

\n\n

\n

- The proposed law amends the original Citizenship Act of 1955.
- It proposes that Hindus, Sikhs, Buddhists, Jains, Parsis and Christians from Afghanistan, Bangladesh and Pakistan will not be treated as illegal immigrants.
- This is despite them having entered India without valid documents.
- The amendments seek to include a separate column in the citizenship form for applicants belonging to six minority communities from Pakistan, Afghanistan and Bangladesh.
- They will not face deportation as illegal immigrants under the Passport (Entry into India) Act of 1920 and the Foreigners Act of 1946.
- The amendment shortens the period of residency from 12 years (mentioned in the Citizenship Act, 1955) to 7 years, for gaining permanent citizenship by **naturalization**.
- The Bill also empowers the government to cancel registration as OCI in case of any violation of the Citizenship Act or any other laws.

\n

\n\n

\n

\n\n

### **What are the concerns to be addressed?**

\n\n

\n

- The proposed bill violates the basic tenets of the Constitution.
- By distinguishing illegal immigrants on the basis of religion, the proposed law goes against the fundamental right to equality under Article 14.
- The protection of Article 14 applies equally to both citizens and foreigners.
- The Bill would hamper what the Assam National Register of Citizens seeks to achieve in the State.
- The NRC does not distinguish on the basis of faith unlike the 2016 Bill.

\n

\n

- So the Bill is seen as a threat to the cultural and linguistic identity of the people of Assam.

\n

- The Bill, if passed, would be challenged in the Supreme Court on the grounds of Article 14 and as a move to disturb the NRC process.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

