

# **Concerns in Ayushman Bharat**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The Ayushman Bharat-National Health Protection Mission (AB-NHPM) needs a relook, given the implementation concerns with it.
- Click <u>here</u> to know more on the scheme.

 $n\n$ 

### Why AB-NHPM?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

• Rising out-of-pocket expenditure (OOPE) of the citizens is a key concern in the healthcare sector.

\n

- Among different sources of healthcare financing, 67% of the total health expenditure comes from households' pockets.
- Health expenses push about 7% of the population below the poverty threshold every year.
- In this backdrop, the government launched the Ayushman Bharat-National Health Protection Mission (AB-NHPM) to reduce OOPE.

 $n\n$ 

#### Is it a workable measure?

 $n\n$ 

۱'n

• The AB-NHPM shares its objectives with the Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana (RSBY) scheme.

• RSBY sanctioned Rs 30,000 health insurance coverage per family per year

for secondary and tertiary hospitalisation.

\n

• In the nearly 9 years of RSBY's existence, the scheme objectives are yet to be met.

\n

• The quality of healthcare provisioned under the RSBY was compromised because of insufficient coverage.

\n

- In this respect, the Ayushman Bharat scheme is a positive step up, with an increased coverage limit of Rs 5 lakh.
- However, some less-desired aspects of the RSBY are reflecting in the AB-NHPM scheme as well.

\n

• The capacity of increased coverage to reduce the actual OOPE is limited in the current form of this scheme.

\n

 $n\$ 

### What are the continuing concerns?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• **Coverage** - Despite the increase in coverage amount, the AB-NHPM is limited to only inpatient care.

\n

• The Rs 5 lakh cover is intended for secondary and tertiary care 'hospitalisation' only.

\n

• The outpatient expenditure, which forms a major part of OOPE, has been left out of the ambit of the AB-NHPM.

\n

- $\bullet$  Poorer people, functioning on daily income, tend to avoid hospitalisation due to the associated loss in working income. \n
- Therefore, outpatient care inclusive of diagnostics and medicines needs to be insured for them.

\n

• **Private players** - Private sector participation in healthcare services has been increasing at a quick rate in urban areas, while remaining more or less constant in rural areas.

\n

• In 2014-15, over 68% of hospitalised cases in urban areas, and 42% in rural areas, got recorded in private hospitals.

\n

- Even in top-performing states with the highest government health expenditure, the spending in private sector in OOPE form is quite high.
- But the scheme, in its current form, may not be able to account for this rising private players' participation.
- The increase in compensation under AB-NHPM holds value only when it is able to induce insurance coverage for healthcare services provided by the private sector.

\n

• The list of hospitals empanelled under the scheme does contain many private hospitals.

\n

- But under the single rate card provision of the scheme, the private sector's willing participation seems unlikely.
  - \n
- This is because the prices proposed under the rate card fall much below the expectations of private sector healthcare providers.

۱n

• **Medical packages list** - Preparing the entire medical procedure list at the central level is a potentially suboptimal move.

\n

• This is a challenge given the heterogeneity in healthcare needs and disease prevalence across the country.

\n

- $\bullet$  A study under the India State-Level Disease Burden Initiative highlights the need for state-specific health interventions.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- There are comprehensive inequalities in disease burden and its causes across states.

\n

 So there is a deep-rooted need for disease-specific interventions, with specialised attention to associated risk factors.

 $n\n$ 

#### What is to be done?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Cooperative federalism can go a long way in addressing the above challenges.

\n

- States' role needs to be enhanced at planning stages, a shift from their current role as implementers.
- $\bullet$  E.g. states could be given the responsibility of preparing the medical package list  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- $\bullet$  This will encourage cost-effective accounting for inter-state variation.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- Also, if poorer states could set up lucrative prices for healthcare packages, it could augment private investment in these states.
- $\bullet$  So, while the objective of the scheme is welcome, the implementation challenges deserve some serious thought.  $\$
- $\bullet$  Increased states' participation and inflation-adjusted rates for procedures could help India progress towards its universal healthcare goal. \n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\$ 

## **Source: Financial Express**

\n

