

## Concerns in Railways Organisation

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The Bibek Debroy Committee made its recommendation on railway reforms.
- \n
- It needs a reassessment with a holistic approach, in terms of addressing the varied concerns in Railways.
- \n

\n\n

### What are the concerns in Railways?

\n\n

\n

- **Departmentalism** - The Bibek Debroy Committee refers to the negative aspects of functional specialisation as “departmentalism”.
- \n
- Departmentalism is a major constraint for bringing about rapid change in the Railways organisation.
- \n
- This manifests itself in the form of unhealthy competition amongst departments.
- \n
- **Competition** - They compete for appropriating a larger share of scarce resources.
- \n
- Competition for usurping a larger share of key general management posts also exists.
- \n
- This is for better access to power, authority etc.
- \n
- **Personnel** - Narrow departmental goals are pursued at the cost of organisational goals and objectives.
- \n
- Resultantly, there is lack of team work and cohesion.
- \n
- Departmentalism seems to mix up the issue of career progression with the

issue of departmental bias.

\n

- These are related issues, but it's important to address them separately to find a solution to "departmentalism".

\n

- **Cadres** - Currently the personnel for each of the departments are recruited as distinct cadres.

\n

- This takes place through the civil services examination for the four non-engineering disciplines.

\n

- For the five engineering disciplines, engineering services examinations are conducted.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the concerns with the recommendations?**

\n\n

\n

- The Debroy Committee make suggestion for two services, by **merging the different cadres**.

\n

- One, recruited through the civil services examination and the other through the engineering services examination.

\n

- Merger of cadres would help resolve the inter-cadre tussle for top positions.

\n

- It is also expected to free managerial behaviour of departmental bias.

\n

- **Concerns** - However, the departments would still exist, and so would departmental goals.

\n

- So how far would single cadre or just two cadres lead everyone to work towards the organisational goal is uncertain.

\n

- Also, there are technical concerns in the merging of the cadre.

\n

- Decisions taken by non-technical personnel on technical matters would remain a concern.

\n

- Merged cadres may not necessarily lead to more focus on organisational goals rather than departmental goals.

\n

\n\n

## **What is the way forward?**

\n\n

- \n
  - The merger of cadres is a major surgical intervention proposed by the Debroy Committee.
  - \n
    - It is a possibly way of resolving career progression issues but not coordination issues.
    - \n
      - Strengthening all coordination mechanisms that exist and the creation of such mechanisms where they do not exist is crucial.
      - \n
        - So, unification of performance metrics across departments and merger of indices of performance is essential.
        - \n
          - Departments can thus work synchronously towards the goals of the whole organisation.
          - \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express**

\n

