

## Concerns with Banks Recapitalisation

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The Centre recently announced the details of recapitalisation plan for PSBs. Click [here](#) to know more.

\n

- While the move has been largely viewed with optimism, there are serious concerns that demand a reassessment.

\n

\n\n

### What are the benefits?

\n\n

\n

- Capital infusion reinforces the Centre's commitment to support weak public sector banks.

\n

- This will certainly help them meet the regulatory capital requirement.

\n

- ON the other hand, it will provide growth capital to the slightly better performing banks.

\n

- Also, the deadline for resolving the first set of large accounts under IBC is fast approaching (by March, 2018).

\n

- Given this, capital infusion will give more space for the banks to clean up their balance sheets.

\n

\n\n

### What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- 46% more capital has been given to the banks under the RBI's prompt

corrective action.

\n

- This is owing to their weak capital and higher NPA levels.
- This is against the general perception that bigger and better performing banks would be rewarded with more capital.
- The plan evidently offers a **larger share of capital for weaker banks**.
- This, in a way, denies the growth capital to more deserving banks.
- Notably, weak bank's capital ratios have increased beyond the requirement to meet the regulatory threshold.
- The Centre's approach is only indicative of **higher bad loan provisioning** in the coming quarters.
- Besides, the recap plan makes some **relaxations** to existing systems.
- These are in relation with:

\n\n

\n

i. closer monitoring of big-ticket loans

\n

ii. identifying niche areas where a bank has strengths

\n

iii. restricting corporate exposure to 25%

\n

iv. a new performance management system

\n

\n\n

## **What should be done?**

\n\n

\n

- The capital offered should be able to meet the government's **service priorities**.
- This, in particular, applies to smoother **credit flows to small businesses**.
- The constitution of the **Banks Board Bureau** was a welcome move in the governance of PSBs.

- \n
- But impact thus far has been very minimal.
- \n
- PJ Nayak committee's recommendations including giving more **autonomy** to bank boards need to be implemented in true spirit.
- \n
- Thus, a sustained solution lies in taking forward the **structural reforms**.
- \n
- This is even more crucial for the current recapitalisation exercise to pay favourable results.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line, The Hindu**

\n

