

Concerns with BoB, Dena Bank and Vijaya Bank Merger

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The Union government recently proposed the merger of Bank of Baroda, Dena Bank and Vijaya Bank.

\n

- However, the grounds for the merger, at the time of banks' weakening trend, have raised serious concerns.

\n

\n\n

What are the concerns highlighted?

\n\n

\n

- **Implication** - After the merger announcement, shares of Bank of Baroda and Vijaya Bank fell significantly.

\n

- On the other hand, Dena Bank gained sharply.

\n

- Notably, Dena Bank is the bank in the worst financial situation among the three entities.

\n

- It is currently under the Reserve Bank of India's *prompt corrective action* framework.

\n

- Unlike the other two banks, its shareholders are set to gain from being part of a new bank with greater financial strength.

\n

- But the weaker banks would make an unhealthy impact on the operations of the stronger one.

\n

- Clearly, forced mergers such as the current one make little business sense for the stronger banks.

\n

- **Bad loans** - The merger is part of the government's efforts to consolidate the banking industry to overcome the bad loan crisis.

\n

- Asking healthy banks to take over weak banks appears to be the strategy to handle the bad loans crisis.

\n

\n\n

\n

- But they are less likely to solve the bad loan crisis that has gripped the banking system as a whole.

\n

- **Shareholders** - A dominant shareholder in the form of the government is dictating critical moves.

\n

\n\n

\n

- This impacts the minority shareholders as they are left with no say in the matter.

\n

- A merger as significant as this one should have been first discussed and approved in the board rooms of the banks concerned.

\n

\n\n

What could be a cautious move?

\n\n

\n

- Undeniably, there are too many public sector banks in India and so consolidation is a good idea in principle.

\n

- But ideally, mergers ought to be between strong banks.

\n

- It is important to ensure that such mergers do not end up creating an entity that is weaker than the original pre-merger strong bank.

\n

- Certainly, mergers are just one way of managing the problem and therefore cannot be discounted totally.

\n

- However, the trick lies in ensuring that the merger fallout is managed prudently.

\n

- Identifying synergies and exploiting scale efficiencies will be crucial here.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n\n

\n\n

Quick Fact

\n\n

Prompt Corrective Action (PCA)

\n\n

- \n
 - PCA is primarily to take appropriate corrective action on weak and troubled banks.
 - \n
 - The RBI has put in place some trigger points to assess, monitor and control banks.
 - \n
 - The trigger points are on the basis of CRAR (a metric to measure balance sheet strength), NPA and ROA (return on assets).
 - \n
 - Based on each trigger point, the banks have to follow a mandatory action plan.
 - \n
 - It prohibits them from undertaking fresh business activities such as opening branches, recruiting talent or lending to risky companies.
 - \n
 - RBI could take discretionary action plans too apart from these.

\n