

Concerns with India's Export Subsidies

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The US has recently challenged in the WTO almost all Indian export subsidy programmes. Click [here](#) to know more.

\n

- This calls for India to assess the real effects of export subsidies and consider other effective options for export promotion.

\n

\n\n

What is the exception provided for India?

\n\n

\n

- India was among the 20 developing countries included in Annex VII of the *Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures* (SCM Agreement).

\n

- This allowed it to use export subsidies as long as per capita Gross National Product (GNP) had not crossed \$1,000, at *constant 1990 dollars*, for 3 consecutive years.

\n

- This was provided to the developing countries for phasing out export subsidies.

\n

- It is an exception under the “special and differential treatment”.

\n

- India thus had the flexibility to use export subsidies until 2015.

\n

\n\n

What is the contention now?

\n\n

\n

- India had crossed the \$1,000 GNP per capita threshold in 2015.

- \n
- India must have eliminated export subsidies immediately upon crossing the threshold.
 - \n
 - The complaint now is that India is violating its commitments under the SCM Agreement.
 - \n
 - But in Doha negotiations, India and several other "special provision" countries sought an amendment of the agreement.
 - \n
 - The demand was to enable them to get a transition period.
 - \n

\n\n

How have India's export subsidies been?

\n\n

- \n
- Contrary to the pronouncements made in the Foreign Trade Policy, the government has continued to increase its outlays on export promotion schemes.
 - \n
 - In 2016-17, the total outlay on export promotion schemes was around Rs. 58,000 crore.
 - \n
 - This is an increase of more than 28% in 3 years.
 - \n
 - The Merchandise Exports from India Scheme (MEIS) was introduced to promote exports.
 - \n
 - This was done notably by offsetting the infrastructural inefficiencies faced by exports of specified goods and to provide a level playing field.
 - \n
 - The scheme initially covered 4,914 tariff lines and was subsequently increased to cover 7,914 tariff lines.
 - \n
 - In recent months, there have been expansions of the scheme.
 - \n
 - This has increased the total outlay on the scheme to nearly 60% over the level in 2016-17.
 - \n

\n\n

What needs to be done?

\n\n

\n

- India's export promotion schemes face an uncertain future after the US decision to challenge their legality in the WTO.
- This is not the first time that the U.S. has put India's export promotion schemes under the scanner.
- Moreover, the utility of export subsidies to promote exports has long been questioned.
- The real impact of these subsidies has never been clearly measured.
- But it has been quite evident that they have benefited the rent-seekers.
- There is, therefore, a strong case for the government to invest in trade-related infrastructure and trade facilitation measures.
- Only these can deliver tangible results on the export front.

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n\n

\n\n

Quick Facts

\n\n

Constant 1990 dollars

\n\n

\n

- The purchasing power of the dollar changes over time due to inflation.
- Constant dollars are used to adjust for these effects of inflation.
- This is done by converting economic information into a standard era dollar

term, such as 1990 dollars.

\n

- Constant dollars are used to compare economic activity in different time periods.

\n

\n\n

Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures

\n\n

\n

- The SCM Agreement addresses two closely related topics:

\n

\n\n

\n

1. multilateral disciplines regulating the provision of subsidies
2. use of countervailing measures to offset injury caused by subsidized imports

\n

\n\n

\n

- It strengthens discipline on trade distorting subsidies given by governments to give their firms an unfair competitive advantage.
- All countries that become members of the WTO will automatically be subject to the Subsidies Agreement.

\n

\n

