

Concerns with India's Export Subsidies - II

Click here for Part I

 $n\n$

What is India's argument?

 $n\n$

\n

- When SCM Agreement was implemented in 1994-95, countries with GNI higher than \$1,000 got 8 years to get rid of their export subsidies. $\$
- India argues that it is also entitled to an 8-year phase-out period and would put this forth in the discussions it has with the US.
- But obviously the two situations are not comparable.
- \bullet Essentially, the phase-out period was extended to give comfort to members when the pact kicked in. $\mbox{\sc h}$

• But more than two decades have passed since then.

• India's earlier efforts, to establish that it would be fair to extend the same dispensation to all, have not borne fruit yet at the WTO.

 $n\n$

What is the way forward?

 $n\n$

\n

- The least that India should have done to prepare for the eventuality was to have a contingency plan ready.
- Nevertheless, it generally takes at least a couple of years for a dispute at the multilateral forum to run its course.
- India has to use this time effectively to draw up alternative schemes.

- Wide-ranging discussions with industry and related ministries for looking at possible alternatives to the export subsidy schemes are essential.
- \bullet The options may include technology upgrading funds, capital expenditure subsidies, and funds for research and development. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- \bullet Various ministries should cooperate with the commerce ministry in deciding ways to extending support to exporters without violating WTO rules. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: BusinessLine

\n

