

Concerns with Plastic Consumption

What is the issue?

\n\n

Consumption of plastic in the Asia-Pacific region is getting higher and hence companies need to demonstrate their own reduction commitments.

\n\n

What is the background?

\n\n

\n

- Asia consumes 50% of global plastic packaging, which could quadruple by 2050.

\n

- Food, beverage and healthcare represent 75% of plastic packaging use.

\n

- New consumption patterns, including Asia's rising appetite for e-commerce and food delivery add to demand for plastic packaging.

\n


- However, recycling rates are low, with the exception of Japan (83%) and Taiwan (35%).

\n

- Hence the region contributes 82% of plastic waste which leaks into oceans with China, India and ASEAN contributing 2.7-7.3million tonnes per annum.

\n

\n\n



\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

- \n
 - Plastic represents 37% of packaging in Asia, worth \$150 billion.
- \n
 - Though there are obvious benefits of using plastic like durability, safety, hygiene, and lightweight, 95% is designed for short-term (single) use and could take more than 500 years to biodegrade.
- \n
 - Since the early 1950s, more than 8.3 billion tonnes of plastic has been produced globally.
- \n
 - But only 9% of plastic waste ever produced has been recycled, 12% incinerated and 79% ended up in landfills, dumps or the natural environment.
- \n
 - Plastic waste dumping in the ocean is becoming an increasing environmental concern.
- \n
 - The major rivers in Asia carry more than 90% of plastic waste in the ocean.

- The Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) estimates that the cost, due to plastic pollution, to tourism, fishing and shipping industries was \$1.3billion in the region alone.

\n

- Also, majority of the plastic waste in Asia is “mismanaged”, wherein low economic value contributes to nearly 45% of Asia’s plastic waste getting mismanaged (i.e., dumped).

\n

\n\n

What is the reason behind low plastic waste collection rate across the world?

\n\n

\n

- **Low economic value** - 80% of plastic waste has low residual value such as plastic bags.

\n

- Alternatively, higher recycling for aluminium cans (55%) fetches sufficiently high selling prices relative to collection costs, thus making it economically attractive.

\n

- **Poor separation at source** - Plastic collection often involves co-mingling of paper, glass and aluminium and majority of the sorting occurs manually.

\n

- **High rate of pigment contamination** - Clear plastics have the highest value and are preferred in the recycling market.

\n

- Dyed and pigmented plastics contain contaminants and are often disposed rather than recycled.

\n

- **Cheaper to dump or export than to recycle** - With the absence of subsidies from the government, it is often cheaper to export and dump plastic waste in landfill.

\n

\n\n

What should be done?

\n\n

\n

- In January 2018, China banned the import of plastic waste.

\n

- Prior to the ban, China imported up to 56% of global exported plastic waste.
\n
- The largest exporters of waste to China included the US, the UK, the EU and Japan.
\n
- After China's ban, we are likely to see more stringent plastic waste policies introduced across the globe and in Asia.
\n
- Also, there is growing acceptance of plastic reduction in many companies in Asia.
\n
- Thus, reduction in quantity of material per package and use of recycled material by the companies will demonstrate commitment to plastic waste reduction in the future.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Financial Express

\n

