

Concerns with Pro-GM Lobby

What is the issue?

\n\n

The Pro-Gm lobby is pushing for a weak regulatory system for the quick introduction of GM foods in the market.

\n\n

What is the status of GM products in India?

\n\n

\n

- In recent times many products were not labelled as "GM" and failed to comply with the Legal Metrology (Packaged Commodities) Rules, 2011. \n
- In addition, they violated the norms set by the director general of foreign trade to declare imported GM products at the ports. \n
- All in all, these products are being sold in the Indian market illegally. $\space{\label{eq:linear} \space{\label{eq:linear} \space{\label{eq:linear} \space{\label{eq:linear} \space{\space{\label{eq:linear} \space{\space{\label{eq:linear} \space{\space{\label{eq:linear} \space{\space{\label{eq:linear} \space{\space{\label{eq:linear} \space{\spaae{\space{\space{\space{\space{\space{\spaae{\spaae{\space{\spaae{$

\n\n

What are the measures taken in introspecting GM products?

\n\n

\n

• Centre for Science and Environment (CSE) started monitoring the GM products usage and impacts and they release various reports in this regard.

∖n

- In India import of GM foods is subject to approval by relevant authorities and has labelling requirements as well. \n
- All the products that found to be GM-positive had not been approved by the Genetic Engineering Appraisal Committee (GEAC) or the Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI).

\n

\n\n

What are the issues with government's measures?

\n\n

∖n

- In 2016 the responsibility of approving and regulating sales and import of GM-processed foods was transferred from GEAC to the FSSAI. \n
- As GEAC has relinquished its authority and the FSSAI is yet to enact its rules and procedures, there is no authority in India to look after the regulation of GM-processed food. \nleftyn
- The FSSAI's proposed GM labelling laws are weak and will dilute the labelling provisions of the Legal Metrology (Packaged Commodities) Rules.

\n

\n\n

How MNC's lobby over GM products?

\n\n

∖n

• Companies with contrary viewpoints or commercial interests have casted aspersions on the scientific study by questioning the methodology of the government on GM.

∖n

- They claim that their products are so 'complex' that they could not be tested in an Indian laboratory.
- The pro-GM lobby thinks that regulations are stopping the growth of GM foods and that they would like regulations to be relaxed further. \n
- Pro- GM groups advocates that GM food is safe and even though there might be a violation of the law, GM foods are not a health risk. \n
- But there is still a question mark on the safety of GM food as there has not been any long-term study. γ_n
- The pro-GM lobby want weak regulatory systems for quick introduction of GM food in the market.

\n

- They do not want labelling of GM food and they want society to accept GM

food without an explanation of the pros and cons.

\n

\n\n

What measures needs to be taken?

\n\n

∖n

- The parliamentary standing committee on science and technology and environment and forests, studied the impact of GM and quoted that there is an absence of a long-term scientific study on unintended health impacts of GM foods in the Indian context. \n

١

\n\n

∖n

- The report mentioned that the authorities are relying solely on studies that were not done on the Indian population and climate. \n
- It is to be understood that growth in biotechnology must be accompanied with a scientifically rigorous process that establishes its safety for human health and the environment. \n
- In addition, there must be a strong regulatory mechanism to assess safety and prevent and control unintended consequences. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Financial Express

