

### **Concerns with Protectionism**

### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Countries, including India, are on a mode to resort to protectionist tariff policies.

\n

• But the larger implications of the trend demand a deeper understanding of the outcomes of such trade relations.

\n

 $n\$ 

## What was the policy shortfall?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

 An acknowledged policy mistake in the initial decades of independence is protectionism.

۱n

• Protection of domestic industries was aimed to be achieved with high import tariffs.

\n

• However, it fell short of providing a fillip to either industrial growth or domestic employment or consumption.

\n

- $\bullet$  Also, these policies resulted in India lagging behind its Asian counterparts.  $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$
- For the past three decades, the trade-led growth of China has been noticeable.

\n

• Failure to adopt such policies partly explains how India missed the growth prospects.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### What is the current scenario?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• There is a rising tide of protectionism across the world, with possibilities of even a trade war.

\n

• Protectionism is now getting to be a major threat facing the world, especially for emerging economies.

۱n

• India too had raised import duties on close to 50 items recently.

• It has also raised import duties on as many as 328 textile products by up to 20%.

\n

• India had also announced higher safeguard duties on solar cells imported from China and Malaysia.

\n

• It is considering raising import duties on certain items that are imported from the US, as retaliation.

\n

 The government is also learned to have set up a panel to examine import duty hikes on consumer goods such as televisions, refrigerators and washing machines.

\n

 $n\n$ 

# What are the implications?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The measures are indicative of a slide in the Indian government's support for globalisation and free trade.

۱n

• Protectionist steps are justified on the ground that they would help domestic companies grow into viable competitors.

\n

- $\bullet$  But the fact is that protectionism does not benefit the domestic economy. \n
- It rather encourages inefficiency of domestic manufacturers.
- It is likely to hurt exports, make domestic goods costlier and reduce benefits to consumers from increased competition.
- So in the long term, protectionism is likely to have only a negative effect on industry's ability to compete globally.

\n

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Business Standard** 

\n

