

## **Confrontation over Pulses Trade**

## What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- While India has hiked import duty for pulses to balance the interests of consumers and suppliers, it has evoked protests from supplier nations.
- $\bullet$  Consequently, the developed vs. developing countries tussle at WTO has also came to the fore in this issue. \n

 $n\n$ 

## What caused the problem?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- **The Action** India's pulses production in 2016-17 increased substantially resulting in a glut and prices falling in the domestic market.
- $\bullet$  The production of chana, for instance, surged about 40% to 23 million tonnes resulting in prices falling below the MSP.  $\$
- To arrest further price fall, India raised the import duty on yellow peas to 50%, 30% on chana (gram) and masoor (red lentil), towards the end of 2017.
- The Reaction Canada is the biggest pulse supplier to India and fears that its trade will be adversely affected due to these decisions.
- $\bullet$  As Canada, Russia and Ukraine have raised these issues it was on top of the agenda at the recent meeting of WTO's committee on Agriculture.  $\$

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Additionally, the duty also seems to have irked other top farm produce exporting countries, like Australia, EU and US.
- They've raised questions on Delhi's claims of needing exemptions (like the

2013 peace clause) in order to achieve its food security objectives.

 $n\$ 

## What is India's case?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- India has indeed been citing food security concerns to demand that its MSP programmes should not be subjected to caps.
- $\bullet$  But by linking the decision to increase import duties on pulses with food security some developed countries are trying to create confusion. \n
- India sees this as a case of agri-surplus developed nations want to project that India's pricing policies are faulty to better position their case.
- India has responded saying the recent increase in tariff was based on the demand-supply equation and that it did not breach WTO rules.
- $\bullet$  Further, India vouched that the increase in applied tariff is within the country's committed bound rate of tariff.  $\$

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Business Line** 

\n

