

Connecting Asia's growth pole

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The vice president of Asian Development Bank highlighted the potentials of integrating South and South-East Asia and the obstacles in this integration.

 $n\n$

What are the benefits of this integration?

 $n\n$

\n

- India and other South Asian nations, collectively forecast to grow by 7.3% in 2017, can integrate their dynamic economies into the rest of Asia.
- Removing obstacles to trade and investment between South Asia,
 Southeast Asia and other parts of Asia is the key to erase extreme poverty in the region.

۱'n

\n

- If South Asia and Southeast Asia each cut non-tariff barriers by 50% and trade costs by 15%, will lead to gains of 8.9% of GDP in South Asia and 6.4% of GDP in Southeast Asia.
- \bullet Sub-regions of Asia have their respective weaknesses. So the Asia's growth spurt can be achieved from integrating these regions. \n

 $n\n$

How can the integration be done?

 $n\n$

\n

- Myanmar and Bangladesh are ideally located to open the economies on the southern side of Asia and those to the east.
- Therefore the growth should happen along India's east coast, through Bangladesh and Myanmar into the rest of Southeast Asia and China.

 $n\n$

What are the causes of concern?

 $n\n$

\n

 \bullet Only 5% of total trade is done among the South-Asian compared to 35& in East Asia and 26% in Southeast Asia.

\n

- Trade between the economies of South Asia and Southeast Asia climbed from just \$4 billion in 1990 to \$90 billion in 2013. But that is not fast enough and represents only a fraction of the potential gains.
- Building the mutual trust, consensus, and political commitment needed to forge closer trade and transport links will be challenge.

 $n\n$

What should be done?

 $n\$

\n

 South-East Asian economies grew quickly even after the global financial crisis through new trade agreements, highways, shipping routes, communications and cross-border logistics. This should be replicated in South Asia.

\n

South Asia lacks the institutional framework provided by ASEAN, which
has galvanized the above mentioned economic integration. SAARC should
be strengthened on these lines.

۱n

• The trilateral highway connecting India, Myanmar and Thailand will deliver physical connectivity.

\n

- More robust value chains should be developed with Southeast Asia and East Asia to make tsuch movement of goods efficient.
- Market and institutional links are also to be strengthened.
- A host of new economic corridors, like Bangladesh-China-India-Myanmar (BCIM), are another key to connecting Asia's fastest growing countries.

What are the gains for India in the integration?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet ASEAN and India have forged a free trade agreement to deepen trade in goods and services and strengthen investment ties. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- India is partnering with Myanmar to deepen maritime trade by developing Sittwe as a deep-sea port in northern Myanmar.
- The 2,500-km East Coast Economic Corridor (ECEC) along India's east coast links the Visakhapatnam-Chennai industrial corridor into Bangladesh, Myanmar and China and to the ports of Vietnam.
- Matching the strengths of India's northeast in products such as wood, rubber, cement and steel to ASEAN's needs would maximise the ECEC's potential as an eastern gateway.
- \bullet There is great scope also for economic corridors connecting India with Nepal, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka, to maximise the export $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- For all this to happen, behind-the-border barriers to trade in South Asia need to be addressed, including the better of its infrastructure and regulatory regimes.

 $n\n$

\n

