

Constrains in PSBs consolidation

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- Recently union government announced Alternative Mechanism to facilitate consolidation among the public sector banks (PSBs).
- Before implementing the recommendations government need to address few constrains in consolidation.

 $n\$

What is the actual status of Indian banking?

 $n\n$

\n

• At present, almost all PSBs are beset with stressed assets problem, with varying degrees of stress.

۱n

• It is argued that the Indian banking system are fragmented and uncompetitive.

۱'n

• They do not capture scale benefits in risk diversification, IT and back-office processing.

۱n

- They lack skills in risk management, IT and product innovation.
- PSBs are mid-sized or "stuck-in-the-middle," operating with similar business models.

\n

 $n\n$

What is Alternative Mechanism?

 $n\n$

۱'n

• Alternative Mechanism (AM) will create strong and competitive banks to

meet the credit needs of a growing economy, absorb shocks.

• The salient features of the approval framework for consolidation of PSBs under AM are:

\n

 $n\n$

i) The decision regarding creating strong and competitive banks would be solely based on commercial considerations.

 $n\n$

ii) The proposal must start from the Boards of PSBs.

 $n\n$

iii) The proposals received from PSBs for in-principle approval for amalgamation would be placed before AM.

 $n\n$

iv) After in-principle approval, PSBs would take steps in accordance with law and SEBI requirements.

 $n\n$

v) The final scheme would be notified by the central government in consultation with the Reserve Bank of India (RBI).

 $n\$

What are committee reports favouring consolidation?

 $n\n$

\n

- Narasimham Committee I (1991) Consolidation would make economic and commercial sense where the whole would be greater than the sum of its parts, and have a "force-multiplier effect." \n
- \bullet It also recommended local banks whose operations would be confined to specific regions, and rural banks. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Narasimham Committee II (1998) -It emphasised that consolidation process in PSBs needed to be based on synergies, and locational and business-specific complementarities.
- Raghuram Rajan Committee (2008) This also favoured some

consolidation among banks that aim to play on a larger stage and takeover of PSBs by other PSBs should not be discouraged.

\n

 $n\n$

What constrains need to be considered before consolidation?

 $n\n$

\n

 Some of the smaller banks that specialise in certain areas of business or regions may have a comparative advantage over larger banks by virtue of their core competence.

۱n

- "Too big to fail" banks create externalities for the economy, and when they fail, public authorities have no alternative but to bail them out.
- \bullet Even after merger of a few PSBs, no Indian bank, including SBI, will be able to become an international bank in true sense of the term. \n
- It cannot be said that skill-sets and managerial efficiency would improve.
- Similarly, it cannot be said that fewer banks would be more competitive at least theoretically, they would bring down competition.
- \bullet That would be unhelpful to the minority shareholders of the relatively stronger banks, if consolidation is done at lesser value. \n
- Even after consolidation, if strong and valuable PSBs do not emerge, their capacity to raise resources from the market without unduly depending on the state exchequer would be constrained.
- There are also major issues in merger, like resistance from trade unions, integration of human resources, culture, technological systems, business and accounting practices, etc.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Financial Express

 $n\n$

