

## **Contract Enforcement in India - Ease Of Doing Business Ranking**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- India ranked 100 out of 190 countries in the World Bank's Ease of Doing Business report 2018.

\n

- Despite this being an improvement of 30 places, the low performance on sub-index of 'enforcing contracts' needs attention.

\n

\n\n

### **How is contract enforcement in India?**

\n\n

\n

- The WB's ease of doing business ranking is based on the average of 10 sub-indices, '**enforcing contracts**' being one among them.

\n

\n\n

\n

- India's ranking in the 'enforcement of contract' component is **164 out of total 190 economies** in the index.

\n

- The reports' projections on improvement in India's 'enforcing contracts' score is also feeble, indicating the dismal performance.

\n

- This ranking is directly dependent on a country's ability to provide an effective **dispute resolution** system.

\n

- The report says that it takes an average of nearly 4 years to enforce a contract in India.

\n

- The all-told cost to a litigant to recover amounts legitimately due to him/her is 31% of the value of the claim.

\n

\n\n

## What was the legislation in this regard?

\n\n

\n

- The **Commercial Courts, Commercial Division and Commercial Appellate Division of High Courts Act** was passed.

\n

- This was primarily to address the dispute resolution concerns in India's business environment.

\n

- It provides a forum with upgraded infrastructure to resolve those classified based on a specific value as "**commercial disputes**".

\n

- The value specified ensures that the courts are not burdened with small claims.

\n

- It paves way for setting up commercial courts at the district level.

\n

- And also a commercial division in High Courts that have original jurisdiction.

\n

- This will be along with a commercial appellate division in the High Courts to hear appeals arising under the Act.

\n

- The Act requires the State governments to establish the required **infrastructural facilities**, in consultation with the HCs.

\n

- They are also required to establish **facilities for training** of judges who may be appointed to these courts.

\n

- It mandates the High Courts to show the levels of disposal of such claims on their website, thereby ensuring **transparency**.

\n

\n\n

## What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- There is generally a gap in enforcement when the implementation is left to State governments.

\n

- The Act contemplates the “appointment” of commercial court judges in districts.  
\n
- However, most State governments have merely vested the presiding district judge with powers to act as a commercial court.  
\n
- The principal district judges are already overburdened with workload.  
\n
- Given this, vesting them with the powers of commercial courts in districts defeats the very intent and purpose of the Act.  
\n
- The presiding judges' experience in dealing with commercial disputes is also doubtful.  
\n
- Also, the implementation of institutional and infrastructural mandates specified to the States is largely lacking.  
\n
- Addressing these shortfalls is essential to make the commercial courts truly business-like.  
\n
- As also to make meaningful the commercial disputes Act to improve India’s ranking in “enforcing contracts”.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

