

Criminalising Talaq

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

The proposed law on instant triple talag misreads the SC's talag judgment.

 $n\n$

Why is the move illogical?

 $n\n$

\n

- The Centre's has proposed a legislation to criminalise triple talaq (talaq-e-bidat), which is a way to instantly dissolve a marriage.
- \bullet This appears to be ill-conceived as it completely ignores the Supreme Court judgment that declared "triple talaq" futile and not integral part of Islam. \n
- Consequently, no Muslim marriage can be dissolved through instant triple talaq at present - thereby criminalizing it holds no ground.
- Notably, criminal jurisprudence states that no person shall be punished for an act that is not harmful to anyone.
- Hence, unless the husband physically/mentally harasses his wife by insisting that his talaq pronouncements have broken the marriage, there is no case.

 $n\n$

What are the usual cases in talaq pronouncements?

 $n\n$

\n

- Most men have resorted to talaq-e-bidat, either in a fit of emotional rage, or out of a misunderstanding of Muslim law.
- ${f 1}^{st}$ case When the pronouncement is in a fit of rage, men usually express regret within a short time as irrevocable divorce was never the intention.

• While the SC order helped in keeping marriages intact despite a pronouncement in such cases, the draft law seeks to stigmatise such mistakes.

\n

- ullet This might lead to eventual breakup of a marriage that wasn't headed there.
- 2^{nd} case In the second case, men think that instant talaq irrevocably breaks the marriage due to their reliance on sectarian fatwas.
- Here, the fatwas derive the validity of talaq-e-bidat from the disputed legacy of Caliph Umar's rulings and its proponents oppose the SC ruling on talaq.
- Notably, instead of verifying the actuality of the Caliph's ruling, most Muslim theologians had held a blind faith in it for long.

 $n\n$

How has the draft law been perceived?

 $n\n$

۱n

- Islamic View Islam while recognizing Talaq-e-bidat (triple Talaq), believes that its use is the commission of a sin.
- \bullet Notably, even before the SC judgment, All India Muslim Personal Law Board (AIMPLB) had proposed social boycott as punishment for resorting to it. \n
- \bullet This probably explains why most Muslim religious organisations have not opposed the current draft criminalising triple talaq. \n
- The Confusion But the SC has already declared instant triple talaq illegal and hence triple talaq is being ineffective.
- While instant triple talaq as such holds no significance currently, making its pronouncement an offence would mean recognizing it as a marriage breaker.
- This would be contrary to the SC judgement and also in alignment with the AIMPLB's view of recognising it while seeing it as a sin.

 $n\n$

What is the way ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

- The right approach would be to launch a massive campaign to publicise the Supreme Court judgment on triple talaq.
- \bullet Also, stopping Muslim theologians from issuing misleading fatwas on talaq is needed. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

\n

