

# Critically Evaluating the 'Swachh Bharat Mission'

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

Independent studies on the 'Swachh Bharat Mission – SBM (rural) contradicts the government's claims.

 $n\n$ 

### What was the focus of SBM -Rural?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The primary priority of the SBM-rural was to ensure an 'Open Defecation Free' India by 2018.

۱n

• To achieve these measures, government imposed a swachh Bharat Cess to raise funds and set out on a vigorous campaign.

۱n

• It set ambitious targets for every 'administrative village' for constructing toilets within specific deadlines to ensure 100% latrine access.  $\$ 

 $n\n$ 

## What does the data say?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

- $\bullet$  Over Rs. 60,000 crore has been spent on the programme thus far.  $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$
- But despite its scope and importance, there is very little objective evidence about its performance.
- Apart from the government's data, the only other comprehensive data source is with the 'Swachh Survekshan Gramin' SSG 2017.
- $\bullet$  SSG survey was conducted by the Quality Council of India (QCI), a body set up jointly by the Government of India and industry. \n

• Both sources portray a similar picture, which rated nation-wide latrine coverage at around 63%.

۱n

• Moreover, the QCI survey also claimed that 91.29% of those with access to a toilet use it.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## What are the problems with the official data?

 $n\n$ 

Despite the lack 0f other comprehensive data pointers, independent studies on a smaller scale have largely contradicted the official version.

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Quantitative Approach - Implementation of the program was highly number intensive with focus on building physical structures.

۱n

• After the targeted number of latrines is constructed, a village will be declared open defecation free.

\n

- $\bullet$  Hence, there seemed to be concerted effort to look into whether the latrines are being used and open defecation has gone down. \n
- **Exaggerating Count** Unused structures constructed under UPA government's latrine building programme "Nirmal Bharat" have also been counted under SBM.

\n

- In fact, pictures of many such defunct latrines can be seen on the SBM website categorised as uploaded, approved and counted.
- Also, certain villages have been declared "ODF verified villages" in where less than 30% households have a latrine.
- **Nudged questionnaires** QCI survey says that 91% of those having access to latrines use them which aren't the case.
- Some researchers say that questionnaire used for surveys by government was biased to get an outcome in support of latrine use.
- Notably, surveys that posed a balanced questionnaire captured more open defecation practices.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## Is it really a people's movement?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Forcing Compliance The study found that in most villages, coercive measures & threats were used to promote the SBM.  $\n$
- Name shaming and harassment of people by officials burdened with targets were flagged in many places.
- Notably, less than a quarter of households said that it was their own initiative to build the toilet.
- $\bullet$  This is contrary to the government's claim that SBM is a people's movement.  $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$
- It also defeats the very purpose of the program which is to drive in a mindset change among people for achieving sustained cleanliness.
- **Debt Burden** The program operated on a 'build first and get reimbursed later' model to promote project ownership among people.
- But considering that it cost anywhere between Rs. 12-25 thousand to build a latrine, and the kind of institutional pressure to comply, many were forced to borrow from informal source.

 $n\$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu** 

\n

