

## **Dalai Lama's View on Partition**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- Dalai Lama recently asserted that had Jinnah been made India's first PM, it could have avoided the bloody partition.

\n

- While the Lama had apologized for the same following an outrage against it, there is nonetheless substance to the claim on moral grounds.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the context of the assertion?**

\n\n

\n

- Speaking at the 60th anniversary of the Tibetan leader's refuge in India, Dalai Lama was stressing the generosity of the then Indian government's gesture.

\n

- Notably, India had granted him refuge and allowed for setting up a Tibetan government in exile despite immense Chinese pressure against it.

\n

- Further, he cited the need for a more humane approach towards the "Rohingya crisis" and "Assam's NRC update".

\n

- In this context, he stated that India missed a moral opportunity to avoid partition by offering undivided India's Prime Ministership to Jinnah in 1947.

\n

- In fact, Mahatma Gandhi is said to have speculated this possibility and Nehru allegedly refused to it due to the various political complications involved.

\n

- While the assertion was politically incorrect for someone on asylum, if viewed from a moral angle, there is at least speculative value to it.

\n

- The statement indeed displayed a disregard for appropriateness, but it was an effort to pluralize the nation's thinking as against "monochromatic

patriotism".

\n

\n\n

### **What was the intention of the assertion?**

\n\n

\n

- Making Jinnah the PM of undivided India was not a practicality in 1947 due to the socio-political context prevalent back then.

\n

- Nonetheless, Gandhi was open to this idea as a last ray of hope to avoid the catastrophic partition.

\n

- Hence, Lama's assertion shouldn't be read as a critic of Nehru's view but a mere statement to provoke the conscience of the nation.

\n

- The offer was for Jinnah to give up his divisive political line and for Nehru to sacrifice his political stature, which proved too costly for both to part with.

\n

- Despite the impracticality, had this offer gone through, the humanitarian crisis of 1947 and 1971 and various Indo-Pak standoffs could've well been avoided.

\n

- Considering the current political situation, Lama's views can be perceived as an appeal to the masses to give up jingoistic polarization on religious lines.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the problems with the responses to this assertion?**

\n\n

\n

- Lama's statements provoked an instant disapproval from multiple quarters, forcing him to apologize for the same.

\n

- Lama's stress was about the magnanimity of Gandhi's offer, but the mass interpretation was that he perceived Nehru as shallow and self-serving.

\n

- Clearly, our uni-polar view of history and nationhood seems to have dominated the day, when the appeal was for developing an accommodating spirit.

\n

- India is a land of spirituality and Dalai Lama's presence, much like Gandhi's,

has added a very new dimension to this land in terms of moral and spiritual appeal.

\n

- In this context, we would do good to shun hatred and embrace a better moral compass to define the way we live.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

