

## **Dam safety - DRIP project**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The government recently approved revised cost estimate of DRIP project and extended the time period for its conclusion.

\n\n

### **What does the project propose?**

\n\n

\n

- The objective of the scheme is to

\n

\n\n

\n

1. improve safety and operational performance of selected dams
2. to strengthen the dam safety institutional setup of participating States / Implementing Agencies.

\n

\n\n

\n

- It is a state sector scheme with 80% of the total project is provided by the World Bank as loan/credit and remaining 20% is borne by the States / Central Government.

\n

- It provides for repair and rehabilitation of about 198 dams across the seven states of India, namely Jharkhand (DVC), Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, Tamil Nadu, and Uttarakhand.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the status of our dams?**

\n\n

- \n
- Over 75% of the country's dams are over 25 years old.
- \n
- Majority of them exceeding their rated lifespan of 50 to 60 years and over 200 large dams are over 100 years of age.
- \n
- Since most of the old dams are made of mud, clay or other locally available materials, they are far more vulnerable to collapsing compared to the new generation concrete dams.
- \n
- There have already been as many as 36 dam failures, nine of which have taken place after 2001.
- \n
- The country has as many as 5,254 large dams, besides many more medium and small barrages, and the present DRIP scheme is grossly inadequate for the task.
- \n
- Hence an additional allocation was made and the project has been extended till 2020.
- \n
- About 700 more dams might be taken up for fortifying during the proposed phase-II of the DRIP project.
- \n

\n\n

### **What are the larger concerns?**

\n\n

- \n
- The devastation potential of dam failures is far higher in India than other countries owing to the denser habitation of the floodplains.
- \n
- The menace has increased with the increased frequency of weather events associated with climate change.
- \n
- The multi-state ownership and inter-state disputes over the upkeep and benefit-sharing of dams are also contributing to this hazard.
- \n
- The Mullaperiyar dam, located in Kerala but owned by Tamil Nadu, is a typical case in point.
- \n
- While Kerala, which faces the direct threat of destruction due to its breakdown, is worried about its poor physical condition and wants it to be replaced with a new structure, Tamil Nadu is unwilling to do so.

- \n
- Even during the recent Kerala floods that threatened its survival, the Supreme Court had to intervene to get some water released.
- \n
- TN has also recently opposed the [Dam safety bill 2018](#) on the view it attempts to encroach on the state's powers and rights.
- \n

\n\n

### **What should be done?**

\n\n

- \n
- Any fresh funding of the DRIP must be accompanied by the establishment of permanent institutions to carry out routine chores in all the dams of the country.
- \n
- Such a provision has indeed been made in the Dam Safety Bill, 2018, which was also cleared by the Cabinet.
- \n
- It provides for regular inspection, emergency action plans, adequate funding for repair and maintenance, instrumentation and safety manuals for all dams.
- \n
- It also explicitly puts the onus of dam safety on dam owners and goes a step further to lay down the penal action for lapses on this count.
- \n
- Thus, the Centre needs to arrive at a consensus quickly with the states to enact and enforce the law without any further delay.
- \n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n

