

## Defining the Point of Death

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Some hold that the cessation of a heart beats marks death but others argue hold that the brain's dis-functionality constitutes death.

\n

- There is hence no clearly accepted point where a person can be declared dead, thereby mandating a legal definition for what constitutes death.

\n

\n\n

### What has triggered the current debate?

\n\n

\n

- A while ago, Kerala government issued protocols to confirm brain death cases with the intention of making organ transplantation more transparent.

\n

- This has brought to the fore, the lack of clarity, medically and ethically, about assessing when the moment of death has occurred.

\n

- India needs clear standard operating procedures as well as a legal definition of death in order to ensure ethical conformity questions of life and death.

\n

\n\n

### How did death become a grey concept?

\n\n

\n

- Historically, life was strongly linked to heart pumping blood and death was consequently identified by cardio-respiratory failure.

\n

- Doctors would feel for a pulse, check for breath, and sometimes even hold a mirror before the nose to test for condensation.

\n

- But the arrival of the modern ventilator upended the metrics of life and as intensive care medicine took shape, defining death became more complex.  
\n
- Advances in medi-care also furthered neurosciences and terms like “death of the nervous system” and “irreversible coma” are no longer valid.  
\n
- Hence, defining life and death has now gone beyond the mere factual bodily indicators and has encompassed the domain ethics and philosophy.  
\n

\n\n

## **What is the current legal status of death in India?**

\n\n

- Presently, in India, two Acts give different answers.  
\n

\n\n

- **Brainstem death** - This (different from brain death) is acknowledged in the Transplantation of Human Organs Act, 1994.  
\n
- According to this, a deceased person is one “in whom permanent disappearance of **all evidence of life** occurs”.  
\n
- It encompasses in its ambit both “brain stem death” and “cardio-pulmonary” cessation, at any time after live birth has taken place.  
\n
- **Record Keeping Act** - “Registration of Birth and Death Act, 1969” excludes the diagnosis of brain death and covers only cardio-respiratory aspects of life.  
\n
- Notably, while a patient on ventilator support is not deemed dead as per this act although he might be brain dead.  
\n

\n\n

## **How is the ambiguity on life and death playing out elsewhere?**

\n\n

- In the U.S., the case of Jahi McMath who was declared brain dead at 13 has fuelled the debate on what constitutes death.

\n

- Notably, she is now 17 and survives on life support, and even attained puberty in the 4 years after she was declared brain dead.

\n

- **Experts** - Many opine that although legal definitions typically indent to define a bright border between life and death, biology of life is a lot more fluid.

\n

- Further, diagnosis of brain death functions is a self-fulfilling prophecy as once the ventilators are dis-connected, cardio-respiratory death follows invariably.

\n

- While biology and law function differently, clear standard operating procedures and a legal definition would nonetheless help in functionality.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n\n

\n

