

Delhi's Pollution Crisis

What is the issue?

\n\n

The rising proportion of smog over Delhi is yet another reminder of the government's <u>failure to act before an emergency</u>.

\n\n

What is the recent concern?

\n\n

\n

- The Delhi-NCR faces with difficult situation each winter when air pollution levels goes up out of control. $\$
- Last year, the day after Diwali, air pollution levels were recorded at eight times the safe limit, in Delhi.
- In response, the Supreme Court-appointed EPCA, a body empowered to enforce the Graded Response Action Plan (GRAP). \n
- The GRAP aims to roll out progressively tougher actions as pollution levels rise, without waiting for an emergency to impose strict measures. \n
- The main objective of EPCA and GRAP is to institutionalize measures to tackle air pollution emergencies. $\gamman{\car{l}}{\lambda}$
- Besides these, there was recently a ban against firecrackers in the National Capital Region.

\n

- Despite these measures, pollution levels did surge after the festivities, though lower compared to the past two years. \n
- Notably post-Diwali smog never receded and very recently the city registered "severe" on the Air Quality Index (AQI). \n
- This comes along with a warning for serious health effects.

\n

\n\n

What are the administrative shortfalls?

\n\n

\n

• **Response** - EPCA is the nodal authority for tackling pollution in the national capital.

\n

- \bullet With the recent serious pollution crisis, the EPCA has recommended a series of measures to be undertaken by various government authorities. \n
- It suggested that parking fees be quadrupled, and asked Delhi Metro to lower fares during non-peak hours for at least 10 days and introduce more coaches.

∖n

• But, the EPCA should not have waited for pollution to rise to the level of being a public health emergency.

\n

- It failed to respond earlier when air quality was deteriorating due to long persistence of haze.
- **Coordination** An even more serious problem pertains to the passive attitude of the authorities.

\n

- The EPCA's proposals require at least 16 authorities to work together. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- However, there is a lack of coordination among the implementing authorities leading to delayed response.
- E.g. The EPCA wants to re-enforce the odd-even policy but the Delhi government is delaying to submit time-bound plan on it as directed by the Delhi High Court.

\n

\n\n

What should be done?

\n\n

∖n

• The EPCA and the Delhi government should devise a <u>coordination</u> <u>mechanism</u> between various governmental bodies.

\n

 \bullet This is essential to ensure the $\underline{timely\ implementation}$ of various policy

initiatives.

\n

- More importantly, the crop stubble burning practice in neighbouring states is a major reason for the present worsening of air quality in Delhi. \n
- Punjab, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh and Rajasthan should stop crop stubble burning, in keeping with the National Green Tribunal (NGT) ban on the practice.

∖n

• There should be a system in place to facilitate <u>subsidies for stubble-removing</u> <u>machinery</u>.

\n

- An alternative is the Bathinda (Punjab) district administration's use of MGNREGA to drive construction of compost pits in farm land. \n
- This aims at encouraging farmers to use the crop stubble as raw material for composting.

∖n

- Such inclusive, innovative and feasible practices could be implemented in other states as well, to address the multi-rooted air quality problem of Delhi. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express, Financial Express

