

## Democracy beyond the Judiciary

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The Supreme Court gave a series of verdicts in the recent days, which has a bearing on prevalent social systems.

\n

- It is to be understood that the judiciary alone is not responsible for deepening democracy and protecting social freedoms.

\n

\n\n

***Unless... philosophers become kings in the cities... there can be no cessation of evils... - Plato***

\n\n

### What is the anomaly?

\n\n

\n

- The recent society-related judgements include that on [triple talaq](#), [Section 377, adultery](#), and [women's entry](#) into Sabarimala temple which are welcome.

\n

- But in these, the mission of deepening democracy, and protecting and advancing social freedoms is placed solely upon the judiciary.

\n

- On the one hand there is a complete side-stepping of the role of the legislature.

\n

- Also, there is a dichotomy between social morality and judicial morality (itself an interpretation of constitutional morality).

\n

- These are dangerous tendencies and an indication of a crisis situation in India.

\n

\n\n

## **What is the concern?**

\n\n

\n

- Essentially, India's parliamentary democracy is built on separation of powers.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Parliamentary bills/debates are absolutely vital to a parliamentary democracy.

\n

- But more than this, the Supreme Court verdicts have occupied a place of significance.

\n

- The judiciary has assumed the role of the single most important pillar, superseding legislature.

\n

\n\n

## **Is Parliament's role eroding?**

\n\n

\n

- The above trend is mainly because of the degradation and abuse of the roles of the legislature and the executive.

\n

- Legislations are being pushed through without discussion in Parliament and hardly any debate in the public sphere.

\n

- The Prime Minister rarely attends parliamentary debates, affecting the sanctity of the forum.

\n

- If the Lok Sabha met for an average of 127 days in the 1950s, in 2017 it met for a shocking 57.

\n

- If 72 Bills were passed in a year in the first Lok Sabha, the number was 40 in the 15th Lok Sabha (2009-14).

\n

- The Budget session for this fiscal year saw a usage of just 1% of its allotted time in the Lok Sabha.

\n

- Also, the Budget itself was passed without discussion, through the guillotine

process.

\n

- Parliament, instead of representing the highest democratic ethos, is focussing on electoral majorities.

\n

- This leaves it incapable of challenging the barbaric social/religious practices enforced by dominant interests.

\n

- The dangerous void left by the executive and the legislature is only made to be filled by the Supreme Court.

\n

- But this could create potentially catastrophic ramifications for Indian democracy.

\n

\n\n

### **Why should judiciary's role be balanced?**

\n\n

\n

- The higher echelons of judiciary self-appoint their members through the collegium system.

\n

- Being an unelected body, the task of democratising society cannot be left to the judiciary alone.

\n

- The change must be through social and political struggles from the bottom, and not from above.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

