

Departmentalising Reservations in Teacher Recruitment

What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

• University Grants Commission (UGC) has recently decided to implement reservation for teaching positions by treating 'individual departments' as units.

\n

- This is socially regressive as this approach is expected to substantially reduce the number of SC, ST and OBC intake. \n

\n\n

How did the decision evolve?

\n\n

∖n

- UGC has recently decided to implement reservation "department wise" instead of considering the university as a whole.
- Union HRD ministry has approved UGC's decision and has claimed that the new intake formula was based on the directives of the Allahabad High court. \n
- But it has been contested by some, who claim that this would reduce the number of SC, ST and OBC candidate intake substantially. \n
- Notably, despite the tardy implementation, reservations in education and jobs are the ones that have proven effective in uplifting the depressed classes.

\n

 \bullet Also, it is a reality that if reservations are absent, even eligible candidates from these groups would be discriminated against on castist grounds. \n

\n\n

What is the category-wise breakdown of teaching staff?

\n\n

```
\n
```

- As per the UGC's annual report for 2016-17, there were a total of 14.7 lakh teachers in colleges (89%) and university departments (9%). \n
- The report gives the category-wise teaching positions of SCs, STs and the OBCs in the 30 central and 82 state public universities. \n
- Of the total 31,446 teaching positions in these universities that are currently filled, the combined total of SCs, STs and OBCs were 9,130. \n
- This is merely 29.03% of the positions as against their combined reservation of 49.5% that is granted to these groups in the central list. \n
- More importantly, of the 9,130 people 7,308 (80%) were assistant professors, 1,193 (13%) associate professors and barely 629 (7%) were of professors.
 - \n Mbil
- While these figures are only for universities and category wise break-up for colleges has not been given, the trend can't be very different there either. \n
- The Rajeev Gandhi National Fellowship, which was implemented in 2005, saw the grant of 2000 fellowship annually to SCs and STs doing MPhil and PhDs.

∖n

• While at least 15,000 such fellows would've successfully graduated, the current recruitment scenario for universities gives them little hope for securing a job.

\n

\n\n

What are the issues with the new policy?

\n\n

∖n

- If vacancies are this high when universities are treated as a whole, then departmentalisation of reservations would only exacerbate this. \n
- \bullet Further, departmentalisation would mean more impediments for the reserved in promotions, and consequently curtail career progression. \n
- Hence, the new rules for departmental reservation are only going to worsen this situation, which hence needs immediate correction. \n
- Also, Privatisation in education is reducing the share of the reserved staffers

as there is lesser compliance with reservation policies in private institutions. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Indian Express

