Derailing White Revolution

What is the issue?

\n\n

The gau raksha activism is actually derailing the benefits of India's white revolution.

\n\n

How much of India's milk today comes from cows?

\n\n

\n
- Out of a total production of 132.64 million tonnes (mt) in 2013-14, excluding milk from goats, 70.44 mt — or 53% — was accounted for by buffaloes.
  \n
- The balance 62.19 mt — or 47% — was from cows.
  \n
- Even within the 62.19 mt, the share of crossbreds — cows containing genetic material of 'western' breeds like Holstein Friesian, Jersey and Brown Swiss — was 33.89 mt.
  \n
- Indigenous cattle contributed 28.31 mt, or just over a fifth of India's bovine milk output.
  \n

\n\n

Can indiscriminate slaughter lead to the reduction in cattle population?

\n\n

\n
- It is the other way round.
  \n
- Slaughter bans make it difficult for farmers to dispose of unproductive animals that are giving less milk, or happen to be male.
  \n

- Since these curbs apply only on cattle and not buffaloes — whose meat can be sold and exported freely — farmers prefer rearing the latter.
- The fact that **buffalo milk fetches better prices due to its higher fat (about 7%) and solids-not-fat (9%) content** — as against 3.5% and 8.5%, respectively for cow milk — further reinforces this preference.

But how important really is ease of disposal of non-milking animals for the dairy farmer?

- A typical crossbred cow will deliver its first calf and start producing milk at 27-28 months. Farmers usually don't keep a cow beyond 5-6 calvings, when milk yields plummet and the returns do not justify the costs of feeding and maintenance.
- By this time, the animal would be 7-8 years old and still has another 5-6 years to live.
- The farmer will obviously want to, then, sell.
- And the only interested buyer here would be the butcher or the trader supplying to slaughterhouses.

Why can't he take the animal to a gaushala or pinjrapole (cattle shelters)?

- A dairy farmer who has, say, 20 cows would seek to replace 5-6 old animals with new stock every year.
- **Regular herd turnover — disposal of cows past their productive age and induction of fresh milch cattle — is what ensures a certain minimum level of milk sales round the year.**
- Now, assuming a desired annual herd turnover or replacement rate of

25%, this requirement clearly cannot be met by cow shelters.

- Even taking 6 lakh animals in all the shelters of Gujarat, they are a fraction of the state's 99.84 lakh cattle population, as per the 2012 Livestock Census.
- While more such homes could plausibly be built, the question arises: Wouldn't government money be better spent on schools and hospitals than gaushalas?

So, is culling or selective slaughter the only solution?

- It is, perhaps, the only sustainable solution. Farmers today keep cows only for milk.
- By guaranteeing a market for unproductive cattle, the butcher is actually providing a valuable service to the dairy farmer.

To what extent will laws like the latest one in Gujarat — providing life sentence for cow slaughter and 10-year term for transportation, storage or sale of beef — spoil this seemingly symbiotic relationship?

- Tough anti-slaughter laws — and, equally important, governments and gau rakshaks determined to implement them — will most certainly throw cattle traders, transporters and butchers out of business.
- But it would also render **cattle rearing increasingly unviable in some states that produce over 56% of the country's milk.**
- In the long run, milk output can increase only through commercial dairying, wherein farmers keep at least 20 animals that are mostly crossbred cows.

\n
- This has been the trend even in Gujarat, where average herd sizes are going up and dairying is no longer a subsidiary occupation to regular crop agriculture.
\n
- Gau rakshak activism of the kind seen now has the potential to undermine the gains from the White Revolution and the move to commercial dairying.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n