

Diluting Capital Adequacy Norms

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- Union government will reportedly hold discussions with RBI in an attempt to persuade it to dilute the capital requirements for Indian banks.
- While this is to ease the financial burden on the government with regard to recapitalisation, the move is imprudent.

 $n\$

What is the government seeking to do?

 $n\n$

\n

- Ratio of common stock and reserves of a bank divided by its risk-weighted assets (expressed in percentage) is called Common Equity Tier I (CET-I).
- Currently, Indian banks are required to hold at least 5.5% of such capital in reserve, which the government is seeking to reduce.
- As RBI is the regulator in the financial sector and "CET-I" is its independent prerogative, the government will have persuade the RBI board to this end.
- Notably, the international Basel-III standards are less stringent, and require banks to keep only 4.5% in hand.

 $n\$

Why?

 $n\n$

\n

 Bad loans within banks (particularly PSU banks) have ballooned in recent times - which have increased bank's "capital adequacy needs". • Notably, six public banks are close to breaching RBI's capital adequacy mandate of "5.5% for CET-I and another 2.5% for capital conservation buffer".

\n

- Significantly, Punjab National Bank (PNB), which is the country's second-largest public sector lender, is also among those 6 banks.
- \bullet Considering this, the government is staring at the possibility of paying huge sums from its budget to aid failing banks meet their capital needs. \n
- In this context, the government is already under pressure due to its budgetary obligations and is seeking to ease the demands from the banking sector.

\n

 $n\n$

Is the move rational?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet This would be an imprudent course that is based either on a lack of knowledge of the Indian banking sector or a lack of care. \n
- There is a very good reason why Indian capital adequacy ratios are higher than those recommended by the international Basel-III norms.
- This is because the health of the banking sector in India requires greater attention, given the problems of regulation.
- Notably, Indian banking is prone to judgemental errors in capital adequacy, misclassification of asset quality, and wrong application of standards.
- \bullet Such problems are common with developing countries and in fact, many countries have set even higher capital adequacy rations than India. \n

 $n\n$

What is the way ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

• The basic logic of the Basel-III requirements is for greater capital to be built up at times of growth and is run down at times of weakness.

\n

- \bullet It is not for the regulations themselves to be altered at precisely the time when they are needed to preserve the health of the banking sector. \n
- \bullet The government's bank recapitalisation plan to secure the health of the Indian banking system cannot be secured by reducing the required cost. $\$
- Just because the budgetary package is falling short in terms of size does not mean that other essential regulatory requirements should be diluted.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

