

Disqualification of MLAs

Why in news?

\n\n

18 MLAs in Tamil Nadu were disqualified by the Tamil Nadu Assembly Speaker.

\n\n

What was the reason?

\n\n

\n

- The disqualified legislators belong to a faction of the AIADMK that opposes to the ruling dispensation.

\n

- They gave a memorandum to the Governor expressing lack of confidence in the present Chief Minister.

\n

- The Speaker interpreted it as amounting to “voluntarily giving up” their party membership.

\n

\n\n

What was the hidden agenda?

\n\n

\n

- It is seen as a partisan decision aimed at securing a majority, after a rebellion within its party reduced it to a minority.

\n

- It reduced the total membership of the House from 233 to 215 and, thereby, the majority threshold from 117 to 108.

\n

- The Speaker’s ruling comes at a time when there is an increasingly indefensible reluctance on the part of the Governor to order a floor test.

\n

\n\n

Can there be a judicial review?

\n\n

\n

- Tenth Schedule of the Constitution prescribes two conditions under which a member of a political party may be disqualified -\n\n

\n

1. voluntarily giving up their membership

\n

2. when a whip is disobeyed

\n

\n

\n

- The Speaker's decision under the Tenth Schedule of the Constitution is subject to judicial review.

\n

- If it is challenged, the courts will have to decide whether legislators withdrawing support to their own party's government amounts to voluntarily giving up their membership.

\n

- In *Balchandra L. Jarkiholi & Others v. B.S. Yeddyurappa* (2011), the Supreme Court, in similar circumstances, quashed the disqualification of 11 MLAs in Karnataka.

\n

- While such legal and constitutional questions may be decided judicially, political morality has suffered a blow in the State.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

