

## Disqualification of Rajya Sabha Members

### Why in news?

\n\n

Two members of the Rajya Sabha belonging to JD(U) party were recently disqualified

\n\n

### What are the grounds for disqualification?

\n\n

According to Tenth Schedule introduced by 52<sup>nd</sup> Constitutional Amendment 1985, the grounds are-

\n\n

\n

- If a **Members of a Political Party** voluntarily resigned from his party or disobeyed the directives of the party leadership on a vote or when does not vote / abstains as per party's whip.

\n

- If a Independent Member joined a political party.

\n

- If a Nominated members join a party after six months.

\n

\n\n

### What was the reason for the current decision?

\n\n

\n

- The party accused the two members of indulging in anti-party activities, including attending rallies of other parties.

\n

- On the grounds, the party filed a petition seeking the members' expulsion from Rajya Sabha.

\n

- The decision was taken by The Chairman of the Rajya Sabha under the Tenth

Schedule of the Constitution.

\n

- It was justified on the basis of the argument that the members voluntarily gave up the membership of their party when they attended political rallies organised by rival parties.

\n

\n\n

### **Why are the shortcomings in the decision?**

\n\n

\n

- The disqualification of dissident was done in needless haste.
- The Chairman justified that all such cases should be disposed of within 3 months as any delay would be equivalent to violating the anti-defection law.
- But neither Mr. Yadav nor Mr. Anwar had disobeyed a whip or posed a danger to the stability of any government.
- So there was no need for fast-tracking of the disqualification process.
- The fact that the Chairman did not exhaust all the procedural avenues questions the ruling of political shade.

\n

\n\n

### **What should be done?**

\n\n

\n

- The Chairman could have taken the assistance of the privileges committee before deciding the case.
- The Tenth Schedule is meant to curb opportunistic party-hopping.
- The law works best as an insurance against violation of the people's mandate for a party.
- It should not be used to suppress dissent, whether inside or outside the House.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

